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## Chapter 2 <br> Computer Evolution and Performance

## ANswERS TO @uEsTIONS

2.1 In a stored program computer, programs are represented in a form suitable for storing in memory alongside the data. The computer gets its instructions by reading them from memory, and a program can be set or altered by setting the values of a portion of memory.
2.2 A main memory, which stores both data and instructions: an arithmetic and logic unit (ALU) capable of operating on binary data; a control unit, which interprets the instructions in memory and causes them to be executed; and input and output (I/O) equipment operated by the control unit.
2.3 Gates, memory cells, and interconnections among gates and memory cells.
2.4 Moore observed that the number of transistors that could be put on a single chip was doubling every year and correctly predicted that this pace would continue into the near future.
2.5 Similar or identical instruction set: In many cases, the same set of machine instructions is supported on all members of the family. Thus, a program that executes on one machine will also execute on any other. Similar or identical operating system: The same basic operating system is available for all family members. Increasing speed: The rate of instruction execution increases in going from lower to higher family members. Increasing Number of I/O ports: In going from lower to higher family members. Increasing memory size: In going from lower to higher family members. Increasing cost: In going from lower to higher family members.
2.6 In a microprocessor, all of the components of the CPU are on a single chip.
ANSWERS TO PROBLEMS
2.1 This program is developed in [HAYE98]. The vectors $A, B$, and $C$ are each stored in 1,000 contiguous locations in memory, beginning at locations 1001, 2001, and 3001, respectively. The program begins with the left half of location 3. A counting variable N is set to 999 and decremented after each step until it reaches -1 . Thus, the vectors are processed from high location to low location.

| Location | Instruction | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 999 | Constant (count N) |
| 1 | 1 | Constant |
| 2 | 1000 | Constant |
| 3L | LOAD M(2000) | Transfer A(I) to AC |
| 3R | ADD M(3000) | Compute A(I) + B(I) |
| 4L | STOR M(4000) | Transfer sum to C(I) |
| 4R | LOAD M(0) | Load count N |
| 5L | SUB M(1) | Decrement N by 1 |
| 5R | JUMP + M(6, 20:39) | Test N and branch to 6R if nonnegative |
| 6L | JUMP M(6, 0:19) | Halt |
| 6R | STOR M(0) | Update N |
| 7L | ADD M(1) | Increment AC by 1 |
| 7R | ADD M(2) |  |
| 8L | STOR M(3, 8:19) | Modify address in 3L |
| 8R | ADD M(2) |  |
| 9L | STOR M(3, 28:39) | Modify address in 3R |
| 9R | ADD M(2) |  |
| 10L | STOR M(4, 8:19) | Modify address in 4L |
| 10R | JUMP M(3, 0:19) | Branch to 3L |

2.2 a.

| Opcode | Operand |
| :---: | :---: |
| 00000001 | 000000000010 |

b. First, the CPU must make access memory to fetch the instruction. The instruction contains the address of the data we want to load. During the execute phase accesses memory to load the data value located at that address for a total of two trips to memory.
2.3 To read a value from memory, the CPU puts the address of the value it wants into the MAR. The CPU then asserts the Read control line to memory and places the address on the address bus. Memory places the contents of the memory location passed on the data bus. This data is then transferred to the MBR. To write a value to memory, the CPU puts the address of the value it wants to write into the MAR. The CPU also places the data it wants to write into the MBR. The CPU then asserts the Write control line to memory and places the address on the address bus and the data on the data bus. Memory transfers the data on the data bus into the corresponding memory location.

| Address | Contents |
| :--- | :--- |
| 08A | LOAD M(0FA) |
|  | STOR M(0FB) |
| 08B | LOAD M(0FA) |
|  | JUMP + M(08D) |
| 08C | LOAD -M(0FA) |
|  | STOR M(0FB) |
| 08D |  |

This program will store the absolute value of content at memory location 0FA into memory location 0FB.
2.5 All data paths to/from MBR are 40 bits. All data paths to/from MAR are 12 bits. Paths to / from AC are 40 bits. Paths to/from MQ are 40 bits.
2.6 The purpose is to increase performance. When an address is presented to a memory module, there is some time delay before the read or write operation can be performed. While this is happening, an address can be presented to the other module. For a series of requests for successive words, the maximum rate is doubled.
2.7 The discrepancy can be explained by noting that other system components aside from clock speed make a big difference in overall system speed. In particular, memory systems and advances in I/O processing contribute to the performance ratio. A system is only as fast as its slowest link. In recent years, the bottlenecks have been the performance of memory modules and bus speed.
2.8 As noted in the answer to Problem 2.7, even though the Intel machine may have a faster clock speed ( 2.4 GHz vs. 1.2 GHz ), that does not necessarily mean the system will perform faster. Different systems are not comparable on clock speed. Other factors such as the system components (memory, buses, architecture) and the instruction sets must also be taken into account. A more accurate measure is to run both systems on a benchmark. Benchmark programs exist for certain tasks, such as running office applications, performing floating point operations, graphics operations, and so on. The systems can be compared to each other on how long they take to complete these tasks. According to Apple Computer, the G4 is comparable or better than a higher-clock speed Pentium on many benchmarks.
2.9 This representation is wasteful because to represent a single decimal digit from 0 through 9 we need to have ten tubes. If we could have an arbitrary number of these tubes ON at the same time, then those same tubes could be treated as binary bits. With ten bits, we can represent $2^{10}$ patterns, or 1024 patterns. For integers, these patterns could be used to represent the numbers from 0 through 1023.
2.10

|  | $I_{c}$ | $p$ | $m$ | $k$ | $\tau$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Instruction set <br> architecture | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |  |  |  |
| Compiler technology | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |  |  |
| Processor <br> implementation |  | $\mathbf{X}$ |  |  | $\mathbf{X}$ |
| Cache and memory <br> hierarchy |  |  |  | $\mathbf{X}$ | $\mathbf{X}$ |

Source: [HWAN93]
2.11 MIPS rate $=f /\left(C P I \times 10^{6}\right)$
2.12 a. We can express the MIPs rate as: $\left[\right.$ (MIPS rate) $\left./ 10^{6}\right]=I_{c} / T$. So that:
$I_{c}=T \times\left[(\right.$ MIPS rate $\left.) / 10^{6}\right]$. The ratio of the instruction count of the RS $/ 6000$ to the VAX is $[x \times 18] /[12 x \times 1]=1.5$.
b. For the Vax, CPI $=(5 \mathrm{MHz}) /(1 \mathrm{MIPS})=5$.

For the RS/6000, CPI $=25 / 18=1.39$.
2.13 $C P I=1.55 ;$ MIPS rate $=25.8$; Execution time $=3.87 \mathrm{~ns}$. Source: [HWAN93]
2.14 a. Ultimately, the user is concerned with the execution time of a system, not its execution rate. If we take arithmetic mean of the MIPS rates of various benchmark programs, we get a result that is proportional to the sum of the inverses of execution times. But this is not inversely proportional to the sum of execution times. In other words, the arithmetic mean of the MIPS rate does not cleanly relate to execution time. On the other hand, the harmonic mean MIPS rate is the inverse of the average execution time.
b.

|  | Arithmetic mean | Harmonic Mean | Rank |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Computer A | 25.3 MIPS | 0.25 MIPS | 2 |
| Computer B | 2.8 MIPS | 0.21 MIPS | 3 |
| Computer C | 3.25 MIPS | 2.1 MIPS | 1 |

# HAPTER 3 <br> Computer Function and InTERCONNECTION 

## ANswERS TO @uEsTuONs

3.1 Processor-memory: Data may be transferred from processor to memory or from memory to processor. Processor-I/O: Data may be transferred to or from a peripheral device by transferring between the processor and an I/O module. Data processing: The processor may perform some arithmetic or logic operation on data. Control: An instruction may specify that the sequence of execution be altered.
3.2 Instruction address calculation (iac): Determine the address of the next instruction to be executed. Instruction fetch (if): Read instruction from its memory location into the processor. Instruction operation decoding (iod): Analyze instruction to determine type of operation to be performed and operand(s) to be used. Operand address calculation (oac): If the operation involves reference to an operand in memory or available via I/O, then determine the address of the operand. Operand fetch (of): Fetch the operand from memory or read it in from I/O. Data operation (do): Perform the operation indicated in the instruction. Operand store (os): Write the result into memory or out to I/O.
3.3 (1) Disable all interrupts while an interrupt is being processed. (2) Define priorities for interrupts and to allow an interrupt of higher priority to cause a lower-priority interrupt handler to be interrupted.
3.4 Memory to processor: The processor reads an instruction or a unit of data from memory. Processor to memory: The processor writes a unit of data to memory. I/O to processor: The processor reads data from an I/O device via an I/O module. Processor to I/O: The processor sends data to the I/O device. I/O to or from memory: For these two cases, an I/O module is allowed to exchange data directly with memory, without going through the processor, using direct memory access (DMA).
3.5 With multiple buses, there are fewer devices per bus. This (1) reduces propagation delay, because each bus can be shorter, and (2) reduces bottleneck effects.
3.6 System pins: Include the clock and reset pins. Address and data pins: Include 32 lines that are time multiplexed for addresses and data. Interface control pins: Control the timing of transactions and provide coordination among initiators and targets. Arbitration pins: Unlike the other PCI signal lines, these are not shared lines. Rather, each PCI master has its own pair of arbitration lines that connect it directly to the PCI bus arbiter. Error Reporting pins: Used to report parity and other errors. Interrupt Pins: These are provided for PCI devices that must generate requests for service. Cache support pins: These pins are needed to support a memory on PCI that can be cached in the processor or another device. 64 -bit Bus extension pins: Include 32 lines that are time multiplexed for addresses and data
and that are combined with the mandatory address / data lines to form a 64-bit address/data bus. JTAG/Boundary Scan Pins: These signal lines support testing procedures defined in IEEE Standard 1149.1.
ANSWERS TO PROBLEMS
3.1 Memory (contents in hex): 300: 3005; 301: 5940; 302: 7006

Step 1: $3005 \rightarrow$ IR; Step 2: $3 \rightarrow$ AC
Step 3: $5940 \rightarrow$ IR; Step 4: $3+2=5 \rightarrow$ AC
Step 5: 7006 $\rightarrow$ IR; Step 6: AC $\rightarrow$ Device 6
3.2 1. a. The PC contains 300 , the address of the first instruction. This value is loaded in to the MAR.
b. The value in location 300 (which is the instruction with the value 1940 in hexadecimal) is loaded into the MBR, and the PC is incremented. These two steps can be done in parallel.
c. The value in the MBR is loaded into the IR.
2. a. The address portion of the IR (940) is loaded into the MAR.
b. The value in location 940 is loaded into the MBR.
c. The value in the MBR is loaded into the AC.
3. a. The value in the $P C(301)$ is loaded in to the MAR.
b. The value in location 301 (which is the instruction with the value 5941) is loaded into the MBR, and the PC is incremented.
c. The value in the MBR is loaded into the IR.
4. a. The address portion of the IR (941) is loaded into the MAR.
b. The value in location 941 is loaded into the MBR.
c. The old value of the AC and the value of location MBR are added and the result is stored in the AC.
5. a. The value in the PC (302) is loaded in to the MAR.
b. The value in location 302 (which is the instruction with the value 2941) is loaded into the MBR, and the PC is incremented.
c. The value in the MBR is loaded into the IR.
6. a. The address portion of the IR (941) is loaded into the MAR.
b. The value in the $A C$ is loaded into the MBR.
c. The value in the MBR is stored in location 941.
3.3 a. $2^{24}=16$ MBytes
b. (1) If the local address bus is 32 bits, the whole address can be transferred at once and decoded in memory. However, because the data bus is only 16 bits, it will require 2 cycles to fetch a 32-bit instruction or operand.
(2) The 16 bits of the address placed on the address bus can't access the whole memory. Thus a more complex memory interface control is needed to latch the first part of the address and then the second part (because the microprocessor will end in two steps). For a 32-bit address, one may assume the first half will decode to access a "row" in memory, while the second half is sent later to access a "column" in memory. In addition to the two-step address operation, the microprocessor will need 2 cycles to fetch the 32 bit instruction/operand.
c. The program counter must be at least 24 bits. Typically, a 32-bit microprocessor will have a 32-bit external address bus and a 32-bit program counter, unless onchip segment registers are used that may work with a smaller program counter. If the instruction register is to contain the whole instruction, it will have to be

32-bits long; if it will contain only the op code (called the op code register) then it will have to be 8 bits long.
3.4 In cases (a) and (b), the microprocessor will be able to access $2^{16}=64 \mathrm{~K}$ bytes; the only difference is that with an 8-bit memory each access will transfer a byte, while with a 16 -bit memory an access may transfer a byte or a 16-byte word. For case (c), separate input and output instructions are needed, whose execution will generate separate "I/O signals" (different from the "memory signals" generated with the execution of memory-type instructions); at a minimum, one additional output pin will be required to carry this new signal. For case (d), it can support $2^{8}=256$ input and $2^{8}=256$ output byte ports and the same number of input and output 16-bit ports; in either case, the distinction between an input and an output port is defined by the different signal that the executed input or output instruction generated.
3.5 Clock cycle $=\frac{1}{8 \mathrm{MHz}}=125 \mathrm{~ns}$

Bus cycle $=4 \times 125 \mathrm{~ns}=500 \mathrm{~ns}$
2 bytes transferred every 500 ns ; thus transfer rate $=4$ MBytes $/ \mathrm{sec}$
Doubling the frequency may mean adopting a new chip manufacturing technology (assuming each instructions will have the same number of clock cycles); doubling the external data bus means wider (maybe newer) on-chip data bus drivers/latches and modifications to the bus control logic. In the first case, the speed of the memory chips will also need to double (roughly) not to slow down the microprocessor; in the second case, the "wordlength" of the memory will have to double to be able to send / receive 32-bit quantities.
3.6 a. Input from the Teletype is stored in INPR. The INPR will only accept data from the Teletype when FGI=0. When data arrives, it is stored in INPR, and FGI is set to 1 . The CPU periodically checks FGI. If FGI $=1$, the CPU transfers the contents of INPR to the AC and sets FGI to 0.

When the CPU has data to send to the Teletype, it checks FGO. If $\mathrm{FGO}=0$, the CPU must wait. If $\mathrm{FGO}=1$, the CPU transfers the contents of the AC to OUTR and sets FGO to 0 . The Teletype sets FGI to 1 after the word is printed.
b. The process described in (a) is very wasteful. The CPU, which is much faster than the Teletype, must repeatedly check FGI and FGO. If interrupts are used, the Teletype can issue an interrupt to the CPU whenever it is ready to accept or send data. The IEN register can be set by the CPU (under programmer control)
3.7 a. During a single bus cycle, the 8-bit microprocessor transfers one byte while the 16-bit microprocessor transfers two bytes. The 16-bit microprocessor has twice the data transfer rate.
b. Suppose we do 100 transfers of operands and instructions, of which 50 are one byte long and 50 are two bytes long. The 8 -bit microprocessor takes $50+(2 \mathrm{x}$ $50)=150$ bus cycles for the transfer. The 16-bit microprocessor requires $50+50$ $=100$ bus cycles. Thus, the data transfer rates differ by a factor of 1.5 . Source: [PROT88].
3.8 The whole point of the clock is to define event times on the bus; therefore, we wish for a bus arbitration operation to be made each clock cycle. This requires that the priority signal propagate the length of the daisy chain (Figure 3.26) in one clock
period. Thus, the maximum number of masters is determined by dividing the amount of time it takes a bus master to pass through the bus priority by the clock period.
3.9 The lowest-priority device is assigned priority 16. This device must defer to all the others. However, it may transmit in any slot not reserved by the other SBI devices.
3.10 At the beginning of any slot, if none of the TR lines is asserted, only the priority 16 device may transmit. This gives it the lowest average wait time under most circumstances. Only when there is heavy demand on the bus, which means that most of the time there is at least one pending request, will the priority 16 device not have the lowest average wait time.
3.11 a. With a clocking frequency of 10 MHz , the clock period is $10^{-9} \mathrm{~s}=100 \mathrm{~ns}$. The length of the memory read cycle is 300 ns .
b. The Read signal begins to fall at 75 ns from the beginning of the third clock cycle (middle of the second half of $\mathrm{T}_{3}$ ). Thus, memory must place the data on the bus no later than 55 ns from the beginning of $\mathrm{T}_{3}$. Source: [PROT88]
3.12 a. The clock period is 125 ns . Therefore, two clock cycles need to be inserted.
b. From Figure 3.19, the Read signal begins to rise early in $T_{2}$. To insert two clock cycles, the Ready line can be put in low at the beginning of $\mathrm{T}_{2}$ and kept low for 250 ns. Source: [PROT88]
3.13 a. A 5 MHz clock corresponds to a clock period of 200 ns . Therefore, the Write signal has a duration of 150 ns .
b. The data remain valid for $150+20=170 \mathrm{~ns}$.
c. One wait state. Source: [PROT88]
3.14 a. Without the wait states, the instruction takes 16 bus clock cycles. The instruction requires four memory accesses, resulting in 8 wait states. The instruction, with wait states, takes 24 clock cycles, for an increase of $50 \%$.
b. In this case, the instruction takes 26 bus cycles without wait states and 34 bus cycles with wait states, for an increase of $33 \%$. Source: [PROT88]
3.15 a. The clock period is 125 ns . One bus read cycle takes $500 \mathrm{~ns}=0.5 \mu \mathrm{~s}$. If the bus cycles repeat one after another, we can achieve a data transfer rate of $2 \mathrm{MB} / \mathrm{s}$.
b. The wait state extends the bus read cycle by 125 ns , for a total duration of 0.625 $\mu \mathrm{s}$. The corresponding data transfer rate is $1 / 0.625=1.6 \mathrm{MB} / \mathrm{s}$. Source:
[PROT88]
3.16 A bus cycle takes $0.25 \mu \mathrm{~s}$, so a memory cycle takes $1 \mu \mathrm{~s}$. If both operands are evenaligned, it takes $2 \mu$ s to fetch the two operands. If one is odd-aligned, the time required is $3 \mu \mathrm{~s}$. If both are odd-aligned, the time required is $4 \mu \mathrm{~s}$. Source: [PROT88].
3.17 Consider a mix of 100 instructions and operands. On average, they consist of $2032-$ bit items, 40 16-bit items, and 40 bytes. The number of bus cycles required for the 16 -bit microprocessor is $(2 \times 20)+40+40=120$. For the 32 -bit microprocessor, the number required is 100 . This amounts to an improvement of $20 / 120$ or about $17 \%$. Source: [PROT88].
3.18 The processor needs another nine clock cycles to complete the instruction. Thus, the Interrupt Acknowledge will start after 900 ns . Source: [PROT88].


Bus Transaction

## Chapter 4 Cache Memory

## ANSWERS TO @uEsTIONS

4.1 Sequential access: Memory is organized into units of data, called records. Access must be made in a specific linear sequence. Direct access: Individual blocks or records have a unique address based on physical location. Access is accomplished by direct access to reach a general vicinity plus sequential searching, counting, or waiting to reach the final location. Random access: Each addressable location in memory has a unique, physically wired-in addressing mechanism. The time to access a given location is independent of the sequence of prior accesses and is constant.
4.2 Faster access time, greater cost per bit; greater capacity, smaller cost per bit; greater capacity, slower access time.
4.3 It is possible to organize data across a memory hierarchy such that the percentage of accesses to each successively lower level is substantially less than that of the level above. Because memory references tend to cluster, the data in the higher-level memory need not change very often to satisfy memory access requests.
4.4 In a cache system, direct mapping maps each block of main memory into only one possible cache line. Associative mapping permits each main memory block to be loaded into any line of the cache. In set-associative mapping, the cache is divided into a number of sets of cache lines; each main memory block can be mapped into any line in a particular set.
4.5 One field identifies a unique word or byte within a block of main memory. The remaining two fields specify one of the blocks of main memory. These two fields are a line field, which identifies one of the lines of the cache, and a tag field, which identifies one of the blocks that can fit into that line.
4.6 A tag field uniquely identifies a block of main memory. A word field identifies a unique word or byte within a block of main memory.
4.7 One field identifies a unique word or byte within a block of main memory. The remaining two fields specify one of the blocks of main memory. These two fields are a set field, which identifies one of the sets of the cache, and a tag field, which identifies one of the blocks that can fit into that set.
4.8 Spatial locality refers to the tendency of execution to involve a number of memory locations that are clustered. Temporal locality refers to the tendency for a processor to access memory locations that have been used recently.
4.9 Spatial locality is generally exploited by using larger cache blocks and by incorporating prefetching mechanisms (fetching items of anticipated use) into the
cache control logic. Temporal locality is exploited by keeping recently used instruction and data values in cache memory and by exploiting a cache hierarchy.
Answers To Problems
4.1 The cache is divided into 16 sets of 4 lines each. Therefore, 4 bits are needed to identify the set number. Main memory consists of $4 \mathrm{~K}=2^{12}$ blocks. Therefore, the set plus tag lengths must be 12 bits and therefore the tag length is 8 bits. Each block contains 128 words. Therefore, 7 bits are needed to specify the word.

Main memory address =

| TAG | SET | WORD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 4 | 7 |

4.2 There are a total of 8 kbytes $/ 16$ bytes $=512$ lines in the cache. Thus the cache consists of 256 sets of 2 lines each. Therefore 8 bits are needed to identify the set number. For the 64 -Mbyte main memory, a 26 -bit address is needed. Main memory consists of $64-\mathrm{Mbyte} / 16$ bytes $=2^{22}$ blocks. Therefore, the set plus tag lengths must be 22 bits, so the tag length is 14 bits and the word field length is 4 bits.

Main memory address =

| TAG | SET | WORD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 14 | 8 | 4 |

4.3

| Address | 111111 | 666666 | BBBBBB |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a. Tag/Line/Word | $11 / 444 / 1$ | $66 / 1999 / 2$ | BB/2EEE $/ 3$ |
| b. Tag /Word | $44444 / 1$ | $199999 / 2$ | 2 EEEEE 3 |
| c. Tag/Set/Word | $22 / 444 / 1$ | $\mathrm{CC} / 1999 / 2$ | $177 / \mathrm{EEE} / 3$ |

4.4 a. Address length: 24 ; number of addressable units: $2^{24}$; block size: 4 ; number of blocks in main memory: $2^{22}$; number of lines in cache: $2^{14}$; size of tag: 8 .
b. Address length: 24 ; number of addressable units: $2^{24}$; block size: 4 ; number of blocks in main memory: $2^{22}$; number of lines in cache: 4000 hex; size of tag: 22.
c. Address length: 24; number of addressable units: $2^{24}$; block size: 4 ; number of blocks in main memory: $2^{22}$; number of lines in set: 2 ; number of sets: $2^{13}$; number of lines in cache: $2^{14}$; size of tag: 9 .
4.5 Block frame size $=16$ bytes $=4$ doublewords

Number of block frames in cache $=\frac{16 \text { KBytes }}{16 \text { Bytes }}=1024$
Number of sets $=\frac{\text { Number of block frames }}{\text { Associativity }}=\frac{1024}{4}=256$ sets


Example: doubleword from location ABCDE8F8 is mapped onto: set 143, any line, doubleword 2:

|  |  |  |  |  | 8 | F | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | B | C | D | E | (1000) | (1111) | (1000) |
|  |  |  |  |  | Set $=143$ |  |  |

## 4.6


4.7 A 32-bit address consists of a 21-bit tag field, a 7-bit set field, and a 4-bit word field. Each set in the cache includes 3 LRU bits and four lines. Each line consists of 4 32bit words, a valid bit, and a 21-bit tag.
4.8 a. 8 leftmost bits $=$ tag; 5 middle bits $=$ line number; 3 rightmost bits $=$ byte number
b. slot 3; slot 6; slot 3; slot 21
c. Bytes with addresses 0001101000011000 through 0001101000011111 are stored in the cache
d. 256 bytes
e. Because two items with two different memory addresses can be stored in the same place in the cache. The tag is used to distinguish between them.
4.9 a. The bits are set according to the following rules with each access to the set:

1. If the access is to L0 or L1,
$\mathrm{B} 0 \leftarrow 1$.
2. If the access is to L0, $\quad \mathrm{B} 1 \leftarrow 1$.
3. If the access is to L1, $\quad \mathrm{B} 1 \leftarrow 0$.
4. If the access is to L2 or L3, $\quad \mathrm{B} 0 \leftarrow 0$.
5. If the access is to $\mathrm{L} 2, \quad \mathrm{~B} 2 \leftarrow 1$.
6. If the access is to L3, $\quad \mathrm{B} 2 \leftarrow 0$.

The replacement algorithm works as follows (Figure 4.15): When a line must be replaced, the cache will first determine whether the most recent use was from L0 and L1 or L2 and L3. Then the cache will determine which of the pair of blocks was least recently used and mark it for replacement. When the cache is initialized or flushed all 128 sets of three LRU bits are set to zero.
b. The 80486 divides the four lines in a set into two pairs (L0, L1 and L2, L3). Bit B 0 is used to select the pair that has been least-recently used. Within each pair, one bit is used to determine which member of the pair was least-recently used. However, the ultimate selection only approximates LRU. Consider the case in which the order of use was: L0, L2, L3, L1. The least-recently used pair is (L2, L3) and the least-recently used member of that pair is L2, which is selected for replacement. However, the least-recently used line of all is L0. Depending on the access history, the algorithm will always pick the least-recently used entry or the second least-recently used entry.
c. The most straightforward way to implement true LRU for a four-line set is to associate a two bit counter with each line. When an access occurs, the counter for that block is set to 0 ; all counters with values lower than the original value for the accessed block are incremented by 1 . When a miss occurs and the set is not full, a new block is brought in, its counter is set to 0 and all other counters are incremented by 1 . When a miss occurs and the set is full, the block with counter value 3 is replaced; its counter is set to 0 and all other counters are incremented by 1 . This approach requires a total of 8 bits.

In general, for a set of N blocks, the above approach requires 2 N bits. A more efficient scheme can be designed which requires only $\mathrm{N}(\mathrm{N}-1) / 2$ bits. The scheme operates as follows. Consider a matrix R with N rows and N columns, and take the upper-right triangular portion of the matrix, not counting the diagonal. For $\mathrm{N}=4$, we have the following layout:

|  | $R(1,2)$ | $R(1,3)$ | $R(1,4)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | $R(2,3)$ | $R(2,4)$ |
|  |  |  | $R(3,4)$ |
|  |  |  |  |

When line I is referenced, row $I$ of $R(I, J)$ is set to 1 , and column $I$ of $R(J, I)$ is set to 0 . The LRU block is the one for which the row is entirely equal to 0 (for those bits in the row; the row may be empty) and for which the column is entirely 1 (for all the bits in the column; the column may be empty). As can be seen for N $=4$, a total of 6 bits are required.
4.10 Block size $=4$ words $=2$ doublewords; associativity $\mathrm{K}=2$; cache size $=4048$ words; $\mathrm{C}=1024$ block frames; number of sets $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{C} / \mathrm{K}=512$; main memory $=64 \mathrm{~K}$ $\times 32$ bits $=256$ Kbytes $=2^{18}$ bytes; address $=18$ bits .

4.11 a. Address format: Tag $=20$ bits; Line $=6$ bits; Word $=6$ bits

Number of addressable units $=2^{\text {s+w }}=2^{32}$ bytes; number of blocks in main memory $=2^{s}=2^{26}$; number of lines in cache $2^{r}=2^{6}=64$; size of tag $=20$ bits.
b. Address format: Tag $=26$ bits; Word $=6$ bits

Number of addressable units $=2^{s+w}=2^{32}$ bytes; number of blocks in main memory $=2^{s}=2^{26}$; number of lines in cache $=$ undetermined; size of tag $=26$ bits.
c. Address format: Tag $=9$ bits; Set $=17$ bits; Word $=6$ bits

Number of addressable units $=2^{s+\mathrm{w}}=2^{32}$ bytes; Number of blocks in main memory $=2^{\mathrm{s}}=2^{26}$; Number of lines in set $=k=4$; Number of sets in cache $=2^{\mathrm{d}}$ $=2^{17}$; Number of lines in cache $=k \times 2^{d}=2^{19}$; Size of tag $=9$ bits.
4.12 a. Because the block size is 16 bytes and the word size is 1 byte, this means there are 16 words per block. We will need 4 bits to indicate which word we want out of a block. Each cache line/slot matches a memory block. That means each cache slot contains 16 bytes. If the cache is 64 Kbyte then $64 \mathrm{Kbytes} / 16=4096$ cache slots. To address these 4096 cache slots, we need 12 bits $(212=4096)$. Consequently, given a 20 bit ( 1 MByte) main memory address:

Bits 0-3 indicate the word offset ( 4 bits)
Bits 4-15 indicate the cache slot (12 bits)
Bits 16-19 indicate the tag (remaining bits)
F0010 = 11110000000000010000
Word offset $=0000=0$
Slot $=000000000001=001$
Tag $=1111=\mathrm{F}$
$01234=00000001001000110100$
Word offset $=0100=4$
Slot $=000100100011=123$
Tag $=0000=0$

CABBE = 11001010101110111110
Word offset $=1110=\mathrm{E}$
Slot $=101010111011=$ ABB
Tag $=1100=\mathrm{C}$
b. We need to pick any address where the slot is the same, but the tag (and optionally, the word offset) is different. Here are two examples where the slot is 111111111111
Address 1:
Word offset = 1111
Slot $=111111111111$
Tag $=0000$
Address $=0$ FFFF
Address 2:
Word offset $=0001$
Slot = 111111111111
Tag $=0011$
Address = 3FFF1
c. With a fully associative cache, the cache is split up into a TAG and a WORDOFFSET field. We no longer need to identify which slot a memory block might map to, because a block can be in any slot and we will search each cache slot in parallel. The word-offset must be 4 bits to address each individual word in the 16-word block. This leaves 16 bits leftover for the tag.
F0010
Word offset $=0 \mathrm{~h}$
Tag $=$ F001h
CABBE
Word offset $=$ Eh
Tag $=$ CABBh
d. As computed in part a, we have 4096 cache slots. If we implement a two -way set associative cache, then it means that we put two cache slots into one set. Our cache now holds $4096 / 2=2048$ sets, where each set has two slots. To address these 2048 sets we need 11 bits $(211=2048)$. Once we address a set, we will simultaneously search both cache slots to see if one has a tag that matches the target. Our 20-bit address is now broken up as follows:

Bits 0-3 indicate the word offset
Bits 4-14 indicate the cache set
Bits 15-20 indicate the tag
F0010 = 11110000000000010000
Word offset $=0000=0$
Cache Set $=00000000001=001$
$\mathrm{Tag}=11110=11110=1 \mathrm{E}$
CABBE = 11001010101110111110
Word offset $=1110=\mathrm{E}$
Cache Set $=01010111011=2 B B$
$\mathrm{Tag}=11001=11001=19$
4.13 Associate a 2-bit counter with each of the four blocks in a set. Initially, arbitrarily set the four values to $0,1,2$, and 3 respectively. When a hit occurs, the counter of the block that is referenced is set to 0 . The other counters in the set with values originally lower than the referenced counter are incremented by 1 ; the remaining counters are unchanged. When a miss occurs, the block in the set whose counter
value is 3 is replaced and its counter set to 0 . All other counters in the set are incremented by 1 .
4.14 Writing back a line takes $30+(7 \times 5)=65 \mathrm{~ns}$, enough time for 2.17 single-word memory operations. If the average line that is written at least once is written more than 2.17 times, the write-back cache will be more efficient.
4.15 a. A reference to the first instruction is immediately followed by a reference to the second.
b. The ten accesses to a [ i ] within the inner for loop which occur within a short interval of time.
4.16 Define
$C_{i}=$ Average cost per bit, memory level i
$S_{i}=$ Size of memory level i
$\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ Time to access a word in memory level i
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ Probability that a word is in memory i and in no higher-level memory
$B_{i}=$ Time to transfer a block of data from memory level $(i+1)$ to memory level $i$
Let cache be memory level 1; main memory, memory level 2; and so on, for a total of N levels of memory. Then

$$
C_{S}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N} C_{i} S_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} S_{i}}
$$

The derivation of $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{S}}$ is more complicated. We begin with the result from probability theory that:

$$
\text { Expected Value of } x=\sum_{i=1}^{N} i \operatorname{Pr}[x=1]
$$

We can write:

$$
T_{\mathrm{s}}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} T_{i} H_{i}
$$

We need to realize that if a word is in $\mathrm{M}_{1}$ (cache), it is read immediately. If it is in $M_{2}$ but not $M_{1}$, then a block of data is transferred from $M_{2}$ to $M_{1}$ and then read. Thus:

$$
\mathrm{T}_{2}=\mathrm{B}_{1}+\mathrm{T}_{1}
$$

Further

$$
\mathrm{T}_{3}=\mathrm{B}_{2}+\mathrm{T}_{2}=\mathrm{B}_{1}+\mathrm{B}_{2}+\mathrm{T}_{1}
$$

Generalizing:

$$
T_{\mathrm{i}}=\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} B_{j}+T_{1}
$$

So

$$
T_{\mathrm{s}}=\sum_{i=2}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1}\left(B_{j} H_{i}\right)+T_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{N} H_{i}
$$

But

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{N} H_{i}=1
$$

Finally

$$
T_{\mathrm{s}}=\sum_{i=2}^{N} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1}\left(B_{j} H_{i}\right)+T_{1}
$$

4.17 Main memory consists of 512 blocks of 64 words. Cache consists of 16 sets; each set consists of 4 slots; each slot consists of 64 words. Locations 0 through 4351 in main memory occupy blocks 0 through 67 . On the first fetch sequence, block 0 through 15 are read into sets 0 through 15; blocks 16 through 31 are read into sets 0 through 15; blocks 32-47 are read into sets 0 through 15; blocks $48-63$ are read into sets 0 through 15; and blocks $64-67$ are read into sets 0 through 3. Because each set has 4 slots, there is no replacement needed through block 63. The last 4 groups of blocks involve a replacement. On each successive pass, replacements will be required in sets 0 through 3, but all of the blocks in sets 4 through 15 remain undisturbed. Thus, on each successive pass, 48 blocks are undisturbed, and the remaining 20 must read in.

Let T be the time to read 64 words from cache. Then 10T is the time to read 64 words from main memory. If a word is not in the cache, then it can only be ready by first transferring the word from main memory to the cache and then reading the cache. Thus the time to read a 64 -word block from cache if it is missing is 11T.

We can now express the improvement factor as follows. With no cache
Fetch time $=(10$ passes $)(68$ blocks $/$ pass $)(10 \mathrm{~T} /$ block $)=6800 \mathrm{~T}$
With cache

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Fetch time } & =(68)(11 \mathrm{~T}) & \text { first pass } \\
& +(9)(48)(\mathrm{T})+(9)(20)(11 \mathrm{~T}) & \text { other passes } \\
= & 3160 \mathrm{~T} &
\end{aligned}
$$

Improvement $=\frac{6800 \mathrm{~T}}{3160 \mathrm{~T}}=2.15$
4.18 a. Access $63 \quad 1$ Miss $\quad$ Block $3 \rightarrow$ Slot 3

Access $64 \quad 1$ Miss $\quad$ Block $4 \rightarrow$ Slot 0
Access 65-70 6 Hits

| Access 15 | 1 Miss | Block $0 \rightarrow$ Slot 0 | First Loop |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Access 16 | 1 Miss | Block $1 \rightarrow$ Slot 1 |  |
| Access 17-31 | 15 Hits |  |  |
| Access 32 | 1 Miss | Block $2 \rightarrow$ Slot 2 |  |
| Access 80 | 1 Miss | Block $5 \rightarrow$ Slot 1 |  |
| Access 81-95 | 15 Hits |  |  |
| Access 15 | 1 Hit |  | Second Loop |
| Access 16 | 1 Miss | Block $1 \rightarrow$ Slot 1 |  |
| Access 17-31 | 15 hits |  |  |
| Access 32 | 1 Hit |  |  |
| Access 80 | 1 Miss | Block $5 \rightarrow$ Slot 1 |  |
| Access 81-95 | 15 hits |  |  |
| Access 15 | 1 Hit |  | Third Loop |
| Access 16 | 1 Miss | Block $1 \rightarrow$ Slot 1 |  |
| Access 17-31 | 15 hits |  |  |
| Access 32 | 1 Hit |  |  |
| Access 80 | 1 Miss | Block $5 \rightarrow$ Slot 1 |  |
| Access 81-95 | 15 hits |  |  |
| Access 15 | 1 Hit |  | Fourth Loop |

For lines 63-70 2 Misses 6 Hits
First loop 15-32, 80-95 4 Misses 30 Hits
Second loop 15-32, 80-95 2 Misses 32 Hits
Third loop 15-32, 80-95 2 Misses 32 Hits
Fourth loop 15-32, 80-95 2 Misses 32 Hits
Fifth loop 15-32, 80-95 2 Misses 32 Hits
Sixth loop 15-32, 80-95 2 Misses 32 Hits
Seventh loop 15-32, 80-95 2 Misses 32 Hits
Eighth loop 15-32, 80-95 2 Misses 32 Hits
Ninth loop 15-32, 80-95 2 Misses 32 Hits
Tenth loop 15-32, 80-95 2 Misses 32 Hits
Total: $\quad 24$ Misses 324 Hits
Hit Ratio $=324 / 348=0.931$
b. Access 631 Miss Block $3 \rightarrow$ Set 1 Slot 2

Access $64 \quad 1$ Miss $\quad$ Block $4 \rightarrow$ Set 0 Slot 0
Access 65-70 6 Hits
Access $15 \quad 1$ Miss $\quad$ Block $0 \rightarrow$ Set 0 Slot 1 First Loop
Access $16 \quad 1$ Miss $\quad$ Block $1 \rightarrow$ Set 1 Slot 3
Access 17-31 15 Hits
Access $32 \quad 1$ Miss $\quad$ Block $2 \rightarrow$ Set 0 Slot 0
Access $80 \quad 1$ Miss $\quad$ Block $5 \rightarrow$ Set 1 Slot 2
Access 81-95 15 Hits
Access 151 Hit Second Loop
Access 16-31 16 Hits
Access 321 Hit
Access 80-95 16 Hits
... All hits for the next eight iterations
For lines 63-70 2 Misses 6 Hits
First loop 15-32, 80-95 4 Misses 30 Hits

Second loop 15-32, 80-95 0 Misses 34 Hits
Third loop 15-32, 80-95 0 Misses 34 Hits
Fourth loop 15-32, 80-95 0 Misses
Fifth loop 15-32, 80-95 0 Misses
Sixth loop 15-32, 80-95 0 Misses
Seventh loop 15-32, 80-95 0 Misses
Eighth loop 15-32, 80-95 0 Misses 34 Hits
Ninth loop 15-32, 80-95 0 Misses 34 Hits
Tenth loop 15-32, 80-95 0 Misses 34 Hits
Total $=6$ Misses 342 Hits
Hit Ratio $=342 / 348=0.983$
4.19 a. Cost $=C_{m} \times 8 \times 10^{6}=8 \times 10^{3} \mathrm{t}=\$ 80$
b. Cost $=C_{c} \times 8 \times 10^{6}=8 \times 10^{4} \mathrm{~d}=\$ 800$
c. From Equation (4.1) : $1.1 \times \mathrm{T}_{1}=\mathrm{T}_{1}+(1-\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{T}_{2}$
$(0.1)(100)=(1-H)(1200)$
$\mathrm{H}=1190 / 1200$
4.20 a. Under the initial conditions, using Equation (4.1), the average access time is

$$
\mathrm{T}_{1}+(1-\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{T}_{2}=1+(0.05) \mathrm{T}_{2}
$$

Under the changed conditions, the average access time is

$$
1.5+(0.03) \mathrm{T}_{2}
$$

For improved performance, we must have

$$
1+(0.05) \mathrm{T}_{2}>1.5+(0.03) \mathrm{T}_{2}
$$

Solving for $\mathrm{T}_{2}$, the condition is $\mathrm{T}_{2}>50$
b. As the time for access when there is a cache miss become larger, it becomes more important to increase the hit ratio.
4.21 a. First, 2.5 ns are needed to determine that a cache miss occurs. Then, the required line is read into the cache. Then an additional 2.5 ns are needed to read the requested word.

$$
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{miss}}=2.5+50+(15)(5)+2.5=130 \mathrm{~ns}
$$

b. The value $\mathrm{T}_{\text {miss }}$ from part (a) is equivalent to the quantity ( $\mathrm{T} 1+\mathrm{T} 2$ ) in Equation (4.1). Under the initial conditions, using Equation (4.1), the average access time is

$$
T_{s}=H \times T_{1}+(1-H) \times\left(T_{1}+T_{2}\right)=(0.95)(2.5)+(0.05)(130)=8.875 \mathrm{~ns}
$$

Under the revised scheme, we have:

$$
\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{miss}}=2.5+50+(31)(5)+2.5=210 \mathrm{~ns}
$$

and

$$
T_{s}=H \times T_{1}+(1-H) \times\left(T_{1}+T_{2}\right)=(0.97)(2.5)+(0.03)(210)=8.725 \mathrm{~ns}
$$

4.22 There are three cases to consider:

| Location of referenced word | Probability | Total time for access in ns |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| In cache | 0.9 | 20 |
| Not in cache, but in main <br> memory | $(0.1)(0.6)=0.06$ | $60+20=80$ |
| Not in cache or main memory | $(0.1)(0.4)=0.04$ | $12 \mathrm{~ms}+60+20=12,000,080$ |

So the average access time would be:
$\operatorname{Avg}=(0.9)(20)+(0.06)(80)+(0.04)(12000080)=480026 \mathrm{~ns}$
4.23 a. Consider the execution of 100 instructions. Under write-through, this creates 200 cache references ( 168 read references and 32 write references). On average, the read references result in $(0.03) \times 168=5.04$ read misses. For each read miss, a line of memory must be read in, generating $5.04 \times 8=40.32$ physical words of traffic. For write misses, a single word is written back, generating 32 words of traffic. Total traffic: 72.32 words. For write back, 100 instructions create 200 cache references and thus 6 cache misses. Assuming $30 \%$ of lines are dirty, on average 1.8 of these misses require a line write before a line read. Thus, total traffic is $(6+1.8) \times 8=62.4$ words. The traffic rate:
Write through $=0.7232$ byte $/$ instruction
Write back $=0.624$ bytes $/$ instruction
b. For write-through: $[(0.05) \times 168 \times 8]+32=99.2 \rightarrow 0.992$ bytes/instruction For write-back: $(10+3) \times 8=104 \rightarrow 0.104$ bytes $/$ instruction
c. For write-through: $[(0.07) \times 168 \times 8]+32=126.08 \rightarrow 0.12608$ bytes/instruction For write-back: $(14+4.2) \times 8=145.6 \rightarrow 0.1456$ bytes/instruction
d. A $5 \%$ miss rate is roughly a crossover point. At that rate, the memory traffic is about equal for the two strategies. For a lower miss rate, write-back is superior. For a higher miss rate, write-through is superior.
4.24 a. One clock cycle equals 60 ns , so a cache access takes 120 ns and a main memory access takes 180 ns . The effective length of a memory cycle is $(0.9 \times 120)+(0.1 \times$ 180) $=126 \mathrm{~ns}$.
b. The calculation is now $(0.9 \times 120)+(0.1 \times 300)=138 \mathrm{~ns}$. Clearly the performance degrades. However, note that although the memory access time increase by 120 ns , the average access time increases by only 12 ns . Source: [PROT88].
4.25 a. For a 1 MIPS processor, the average instruction takes 1000 ns to fetch and execute. On average, an instruction uses two bus cycles for a total of 600 ns , so the bus utilization is 0.6
b. For only half of the instructions must the bus be used for instruction fetch. Bus utilization is now $(150+300) / 1000=0.45$. This reduces the waiting time for other bus requestors, such as DMA devices and other microprocessors.
4.26 a. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}+(1-\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{b}}+\mathrm{W}\left(\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}-\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$
b. $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{a}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}+(1-\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{b}}+\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{b}}(1-\mathrm{H}) \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{b}}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}+(1-\mathrm{H})\left(1+\mathrm{W}_{\mathrm{b}}\right) \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{b}}$
$4.27 \mathrm{~T}_{\mathrm{a}}=\left[\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c} 1}+\left(1-\mathrm{H}_{1}\right) \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c} 2}\right]+\left(1-\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{m}}$
4.28 a. miss penalty $=1+4=5$ clock cycles
b. miss penalty $=4 \times(1+4)=20$ clock cycles
c. miss penalty $=$ miss penalty for one word $+3=8$ clock cycles.
4.29 The average miss penalty equals the miss penalty times the miss rate. For a line size of one word, average miss penalty $=0.032 \times 5=0.16$ clock cycles. For a line size of 4 words and the nonburst transfer, average miss penalty $=0.011 \times 20=0.22$ clock cycles. For a line size of 4 words and the burst transfer, average miss penalty $=0.011 \times 8=0.132$ clock cycles.

## Chapter 5 Internal Memory

## ANSWERS TO @uESTIONS

5.1 They exhibit two stable (or semistable) states, which can be used to represent binary 1 and 0 ; they are capable of being written into (at least once), to set the state; they are capable of being read to sense the state.
5.2 (1) A memory in which individual words of memory are directly accessed through wired-in addressing logic. (2) Semiconductor main memory in which it is possible both to read data from the memory and to write new data into the memory easily and rapidly.
5.3 SRAM is used for cache memory (both on and off chip), and DRAM is used for main memory.
5.4 SRAMs generally have faster access times than DRAMs. DRAMS are less expensive and smaller than SRAMs.
5.5 A DRAM cell is essentially an analog device using a capacitor; the capacitor can store any charge value within a range; a threshold value determines whether the charge is interpreted as 1 or 0 . A SRAM cell is a digital device, in which binary values are stored using traditional flip-flop logic-gate configurations.
5.6 Microprogrammed control unit memory; library subroutines for frequently wanted functions; system programs; function tables.
5.7 EPROM is read and written electrically; before a write operation, all the storage cells must be erased to the same initial state by exposure of the packaged chip to ultraviolet radiation. Erasure is performed by shining an intense ultraviolet light through a window that is designed into the memory chip. EEPROM is a readmostly memory that can be written into at any time without erasing prior contents; only the byte or bytes addressed are updated. Flash memory is intermediate between EPROM and EEPROM in both cost and functionality. Like EEPROM, flash memory uses an electrical erasing technology. An entire flash memory can be erased in one or a few seconds, which is much faster than EPROM. In addition, it is possible to erase just blocks of memory rather than an entire chip. However, flash memory does not provide byte-level erasure. Like EPROM, flash memory uses only one transistor per bit, and so achieves the high density (compared with EEPROM) of EPROM.
5.8 $\mathrm{A} 0-\mathrm{A} 1=$ address lines.. $\mathrm{CAS}=$ column address select:. $\mathrm{D} 1-\mathrm{D} 4=$ data lines. $\mathrm{NC}:=$ no connect. OE: output enable. RAS = row address select:. Vcc: = voltage source. Vss: = ground. WE: write enable.
5.9 A bit appended to an array of binary digits to make the sum of all the binary digits, including the parity bit, always odd (odd parity) or always even (even parity).
5.10 A syndrome is created by the XOR of the code in a word with a calculated version of that code. Each bit of the syndrome is 0 or 1 according to if there is or is not a match in that bit position for the two inputs. If the syndrome contains all 0 s, no error has been detected. If the syndrome contains one and only one bit set to 1 , then an error has occurred in one of the 4 check bits. No correction is needed. If the syndrome contains more than one bit set to 1 , then the numerical value of the syndrome indicates the position of the data bit in error. This data bit is inverted for correction.
5.11 Unlike the traditional DRAM, which is asynchronous, the SDRAM exchanges data with the processor synchronized to an external clock signal and running at the full speed of the processor/memory bus without imposing wait states.
Answers To Problems
5.1 The 1-bit-per-chip organization has several advantages. It requires fewer pins on the package (only one data out line); therefore, a higher density of bits can be achieved for a given size package. Also, it is somewhat more reliable because it has only one output driver. These benefits have led to the traditional use of 1-bit-perchip for RAM. In most cases, ROMs are much smaller than RAMs and it is often possible to get an entire ROM on one or two chips if a multiple-bits-per-chip organization is used. This saves on cost and is sufficient reason to adopt that organization.
5.2 In 1 ms , the time devoted to refresh is $64 \times 150 \mathrm{~ns}=9600 \mathrm{~ns}$. The fraction of time devoted to memory refresh is $\left(9.6 \times 10^{-6} \mathrm{~s}\right) / 10^{-3} \mathrm{~s}=0.0096$, which is approximately $1 \%$.
5.3 a. Memory cycle time $=60+40=100 \mathrm{~ns}$. The maximum data rate is 1 bit every 100 ns, which is 10 Mbps .
b. $320 \mathrm{Mbps}=40 \mathrm{MB} / \mathrm{s}$.
5.4

5.5 a. The length of a clock cycle is 100 ns . Mark the beginning of $\mathrm{T}_{1}$ as time 0 .Address Enable returns to a low at $75 . \overline{\mathrm{RAS}}$ goes active 50 ns later, or time 125 . Data must become available by the DRAMs at time $300-60=240$. Hence, access time must be no more than $240-125=115 \mathrm{~ns}$.
b. A single wait state will increase the access time requirement to $115+100=215$ ns . This can easily be met by DRAMs with access times of 150 ns . Source: [PROT88].
5.6 a. The refresh period from row to row must be no greater than $4000 / 256=15.625 \mu \mathrm{~s}$.
b. An 8 -bit counter is needed to count 256 rows $\left(2^{8}=256\right)$. Source: [PROT88].
5.7 a.
pulse $\mathrm{a}=$ write pulse $b=$ write pulse $\mathrm{c}=$ write pulse d = write pulse $\mathrm{e}=$ write
pulse $\mathrm{f}=$ write
pulse $g=$ store-disable outputs
pulse $h=$ read
pulse $\mathrm{i}=$ read
pulse $\mathrm{j}=$ read
pulse $\mathrm{k}=$ read
pulse 1 = read
pulse $m=$ read
pulse $\mathrm{n}=$ store-disable outputs
b. Data is read in via pins (D3, D2, D1, D0)
word $0=1111$ (written into location 0 during pulse a)
word $1=1110$ (written into location 0 during pulse b)
word $2=1101$ (written into location 0 during pulse c)
word $3=1100$ (written into location 0 during pulse d)
word $4=1011$ (written into location 0 during pulse e)
word $5=1010$ (written into location 0 during pulse f)
word $6=$ random (did not write into this location 0 )
c. Output leads are (O3, O2, O1, O0)
pulse h: 1111 (read location 0)
pulse i: 1110 (read location 1)
pulse j: 1101 (read location 2)
pulse k: 1100 (read location 3)
pulse l: 1011 (read location 4)
pulse m: 1010 (read location 5)
$5.88192 / 64=128$ chips; arranged in 8 rows by 64 columns:

5.9 Total memory is 1 megabyte $=8$ megabits. It will take 32 DRAMs to construct the memory ( $32 \times 256 \mathrm{~Kb}=8 \mathrm{Mb}$ ). The composite failure rate is $2000 \times 32=64,000$ FITS.
From this, we get a MTBF $=10^{9} / 64,000=15625$ hours $=22$ months. Source:
[PROT88].
5.10 The stored word is 001101001111 , as shown in Figure 5.10 . Now suppose that the only error is in C8, so that the fetched word is 001111001111 . Then the received block results in the following table:

| Position | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bits | D8 | D7 | D6 | D5 | C8 | D4 | D3 | D2 | C4 | D1 | C2 | C1 |
| Block | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Codes |  |  | 1010 | 1001 |  | 0111 |  |  |  | 0011 |  |  |

The check bit calculation after reception:

| Position | Code |
| :---: | :---: |
| Hamming | 1111 |
| 10 | 1010 |
| 9 | 1001 |
| 7 | 0111 |
| 3 | 0011 |
| XOR = syndrome | 1000 |

The nonzero result detects and error and indicates that the error is in bit position 8, which is check bit C8.
5.11 Data bits with value 1 are in bit positions $12,11,5,4,2$, and 1 :

| Position | 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bits | D8 | D7 | D6 | D5 | C8 | D4 | D3 | D2 | C4 | D1 | C2 | C1 |
| Block | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 1 |  | 0 |  |  |
| Codes | 1100 | 1011 |  |  |  |  |  | 0101 |  |  |  |  |

The check bits are in bit numbers $8,4,2$, and 1 .
Check bit 8 calculated by values in bit numbers: $12,11,10$ and 9
Check bit 4 calculated by values in bit numbers: $12,7,6$, and 5
Check bit 2 calculated by values in bit numbers: 11, 10, 7, 6 and 3
Check bit 1 calculated by values in bit numbers: 11, 9, 7, 5 and 3
Thus, the check bits are: 0010
5.12 The Hamming Word initially calculated was:
bit number:

| 12 | 11 | 10 | 9 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Doing an exclusive-OR of 0111 and 1101 yields 1010 indicating an error in bit 10 of the Hamming Word. Thus, the data word read from memory was 00011001.
5.13 Need $K$ check bits such that $1024+K \leq 2^{K}-1$.

The minimum value of $K$ that satisfies this condition is 11 .
5.14 As Table 5.2 indicates, 5 check bits are needed for an SEC code for 16-bit data words. The layout of data bits and check bits:

| Bit Position | Position Number | Check Bits | Data Bits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 21 | 10101 |  | M 16 |
| 20 | 10100 |  | M 15 |
| 19 | 10011 |  | M 14 |
| 18 | 10010 |  | M 13 |
| 17 | 10001 | C 16 | M 12 |
| 16 | 10000 |  |  |
| 15 | 01111 |  | M 11 |
| 14 | 01110 |  | M 10 |
| 13 | 01101 |  | M 9 |
| 12 | 01100 |  | M 8 |
| 11 | 01011 | C 8 | M 7 |
| 10 | 01010 |  | M 6 |
| 9 | 01001 |  | M 5 |
| 8 | 01000 |  | M 4 |
| 7 | 00111 | C 4 | M 3 |
| 6 | 00110 |  | M 2 |
| 5 | 00101 | C 2 |  |
| 4 | 00100 | C 1 | M 1 |
| 3 | 00011 |  |  |
| 2 | 00010 | 00001 |  |

The equations are calculated as before, for example,
$\mathrm{C} 1=\mathrm{M} 1 \oplus \mathrm{M} 2 \oplus \mathrm{M} 4 \oplus \mathrm{M} 5 \oplus \mathrm{M} 7 \oplus \mathrm{M} 9 \oplus \mathrm{M} 11 \oplus \mathrm{M} 12 \oplus \mathrm{M} 14 \oplus \mathrm{M} 16$.
For the word 0101000000111001, the code is
$\mathrm{C} 16=1 ; \mathrm{C} 8=1 ; \mathrm{C} 4=1 ; \mathrm{C} 2=1 ; \mathrm{C} 1=0$.
If an error occurs in data bit 4:
$\mathrm{C} 16=1 ; \mathrm{C} 8=1 ; \mathrm{C} 4=0 ; \mathrm{C} 2=0 ; \mathrm{C} 1=1$.
Comparing the two:

| C16 | C8 | C4 | C2 | C1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

The result is an error identified in bit position 7 , which is data bit 4.

## Chapter 6 External Memory

## ANSWERS TO @uESTIONS

6.1 Improvement in the uniformity of the magnetic film surface to increase disk reliability. A significant reduction in overall surface defects to help reduce read/write errors. Ability to support lower fly heights (described subsequently). Better stiffness to reduce disk dynamics. Greater ability to withstand shock and damage
6.2 The write mechanism is based on the fact that electricity flowing through a coil produces a magnetic field. Pulses are sent to the write head, and magnetic patterns are recorded on the surface below, with different patterns for positive and negative currents. An electric current in the wire induces a magnetic field across the gap, which in turn magnetizes a small area of the recording medium. Reversing the direction of the current reverses the direction of the magnetization on the recording medium.
6.3 The read head consists of a partially shielded magnetoresistive (MR) sensor. The MR material has an electrical resistance that depends on the direction of the magnetization of the medium moving under it. By passing a current through the MR sensor, resistance changes are detected as voltage signals.
6.4 For the constant angular velocity (CAV) system, the number of bits per track is constant. An increase in density is achieved with multiple zoned recording, in which the surface is divided into a number of zones, with zones farther from the center containing more bits than zones closer to the center.
6.5 On a magnetic disk. data is organized on the platter in a concentric set of rings, called tracks. Data are transferred to and from the disk in sectors. For a disk with multiple platters, the set of all the tracks in the same relative position on the platter is referred to as a cylinder.
6.6512 bytes.
6.7 On a movable-head system, the time it takes to position the head at the track is known as seek time. Once the track is selected, the disk controller waits until the appropriate sector rotates to line up with the head. The time it takes for the beginning of the sector to reach the head is known as rotational delay. The sum of the seek time, if any, and the rotational delay equals the access time, which is the time it takes to get into position to read or write. Once the head is in position, the read or write operation is then performed as the sector moves under the head; this is the data transfer portion of the operation and the time for the transfer is the transfer time.
6.8 1. RAID is a set of physical disk drives viewed by the operating system as a single logical drive. 2. Data are distributed across the physical drives of an array. 3.

Redundant disk capacity is used to store parity information, which guarantees data recoverability in case of a disk failure.
6.9 0: Non-redundant 1: Mirrored; every disk has a mirror disk containing the same data. 2: Redundant via Hamming code; an error-correcting code is calculated across corresponding bits on each data disk, and the bits of the code are stored in the corresponding bit positions on multiple parity disks. 3: Bit-interleaved parity; similar to level 2 but instead of an error-correcting code, a simple parity bit is computed for the set of individual bits in the same position on all of the data disks. 4: Block-interleaved parity; a bit-by-bit parity strip is calculated across corresponding strips on each data disk, and the parity bits are stored in the corresponding strip on the parity disk. 5: Block-interleaved distributed parity; similar to level 4 but distributes the parity strips across all disks. 6: Blockinterleaved dual distributed parity; two different parity calculations are carried out and stored in separate blocks on different disks.
6.10 The disk is divided into strips; these strips may be physical blocks, sectors, or some other unit. The strips are mapped round robin to consecutive array members. A set of logically consecutive strips that maps exactly one strip to each array member is referred to as a stripe.
6.11 For RAID level 1, redundancy is achieved by having two identical copies of all data. For higher levels, redundancy is achieved by the use of error-correcting codes.
6.12 In a parallel access array, all member disks participate in the execution of every I/ O request. Typically, the spindles of the individual drives are synchronized so that each disk head is in the same position on each disk at any given time. In an independent access array, each member disk operates independently, so that separate I/O requests can be satisfied in parallel.
6.13 For the constant angular velocity (CAV) system, the number of bits per track is constant. At a constant linear velocity (CLV), the disk rotates more slowly for accesses near the outer edge than for those near the center. Thus, the capacity of a track and the rotational delay both increase for positions nearer the outer edge of the disk.
6.14 1. Bits are packed more closely on a DVD. The spacing between loops of a spiral on a CD is $1.6 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and the minimum distance between pits along the spiral is 0.834 $\mu \mathrm{m}$. The DVD uses a laser with shorter wavelength and achieves a loop spacing of $0.74 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ and a minimum distance between pits of $0.4 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. The result of these two improvements is about a seven-fold increase in capacity, to about 4.7 GB. 2. The DVD employs a second layer of pits and lands on top of the first layer A dual-layer DVD has a semireflective layer on top of the reflective layer, and by adjusting focus, the lasers in DVD drives can read each layer separately. This technique almost doubles the capacity of the disk, to about 8.5 GB. The lower reflectivity of the second layer limits its storage capacity so that a full doubling is not achieved. 3. The DVD-ROM can be two sided whereas data is recorded on only one side of a CD. This brings total capacity up to 17 GB .
6.15 The typical recording technique used in serial tapes is referred to as serpentine recording. In this technique, when data are being recorded, the first set of bits is
recorded along the whole length of the tape. When the end of the tape is reached, the heads are repositioned to record a new track, and the tape is again recorded on its whole length, this time in the opposite direction. That process continues, back and forth, until the tape is full.
ANSWERS TO PROBLEMS
6.1 It will be useful to keep the following representation of the N tracks of a disk in mind:

| 0 | 1 | $\bullet \bullet$ | $\mathrm{j}-1$ | $\bullet \bullet$ | $\mathrm{~N}-\mathrm{j}$ | $\bullet \bullet$ | $\mathrm{N}-2$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

a. Let us use the notation $\operatorname{Ps}[j / t]=\operatorname{Pr}$ [seek of length j when head is currently positioned over track $t$ ]. Recognize that each of the N tracks is equally likely to be requested. Therefore the unconditional probability of selecting any particular track is $1 / \mathrm{N}$. We can then state:
$\operatorname{Ps}[j / t]=\frac{1}{N} \quad$ if $\quad \mathrm{t} \leq \mathrm{j}-1$ OR $\mathrm{t} \geq \mathrm{N}-\mathrm{j}$
$\operatorname{Ps}[j / t]=\frac{2}{N} \quad$ if $\quad \mathrm{j}-1<\mathrm{t}<\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{j}$
In the former case, the current track is so close to one end of the disk (track 0 or track $\mathrm{N}-1$ ) that only one track is exactly j tracks away. In the second case, there are two tracks that are exactly $j$ tracks away from track $t$, and therefore the probability of a seek of length j is the probability that either of these two tracks is selected, which is just $2 / \mathrm{N}$.
b. Let $\operatorname{Ps}[K]=\operatorname{Pr}$ [seek of length $K$, independent of current track position]. Then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Ps}[K] & =\sum_{t=0}^{N-1} \operatorname{Ps}[K / t] \times \operatorname{Pr}[\text { current track is track } t] \\
& =\frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=0}^{N-1} \operatorname{Ps}[K / t]
\end{aligned}
$$

From part (a), we know that $\operatorname{Ps}[K / t]$ takes on the value $1 / \mathrm{N}$ for 2 K of the tracks, and the value $2 / \mathrm{N}$ for $(\mathrm{N}-2 \mathrm{~K})$ of the tracks. So

$$
\operatorname{Ps}[K]=\frac{1}{N}\left[\frac{2 K}{N}+\frac{2(N-2 K)}{N}\right]=\frac{2 K+2(N-2 K)}{N^{2}}=\frac{2}{N}-\frac{2 K}{N^{2}}
$$

c.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}[K] & =\sum_{K=0}^{N-1} K \times \operatorname{Ps}[K]=\sum_{K=0}^{N-1} \frac{2 K}{N}-\frac{2 K^{2}}{N^{2}}=\frac{2}{N} \sum_{K=0}^{N-1} K-\frac{2}{N^{2}} \sum_{K=0}^{N-1} K^{2} \\
& =\frac{2}{N} \frac{(N-1) N}{2}-\frac{2}{N^{2}} \frac{(N-1) N(2 N-1)}{6}=(N-1)-\frac{(N-1)(2 N-1)}{3 N} \\
& =\frac{3 N(N-1)-(N-1)(2 N-1)}{3 N}=\frac{N^{2}-1}{3 N}
\end{aligned}
$$

d. This follows directly from the last equation.
$6.2 \quad t_{A}=t_{S}+\frac{1}{2 r}+\frac{n}{r N} t_{A}=t_{S}+\frac{1}{2 r}+\frac{n}{r N}$
6.3 a. If we assume that the head starts at track 0 , then the calculations are simplified. If the request track is track 0 , then the seek time is 0 ; if the requested track is track 29,999, then the seek time is the time to traverse 29,999 tracks. For a random request, on average the number of tracks traversed is $29,999 / 2=$ 14999.5 tracks. At one ms per 100 tracks, the average seek time is therefore 149.995 ms .
b. At 7200 rpm , there is one revolution every 8.333 ms . Therefore, the average rotational delay is 4.167 ms .
c. With 600 sectors per track and the time for one complete revolution of 8.333 ms , the transfer time for one sector is $8.333 \mathrm{~ms} / 600=0.01389 \mathrm{~ms}$.
d. The result is the sum of the preceding quantities, or approximately 154 ms .
6.4 Each sector can hold 4 logical records. The required number of sectors is $300,000 / 4$ $=75,000$ sectors. This requires $75,000 / 96=782$ tracks, which in turn requires $782 / 110=8$ surfaces.
6.5 It depends on the nature of the $\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{O}$ request pattern. On one extreme, if only a single process is doing I/ O and is only doing one large $\mathrm{I} / \mathrm{O}$ at a time, then disk striping improves performance. If there are many processes making many small I/O requests, then a nonstriped array of disks should give comparable performance to RAID 0.

## Chapter 7 InPut/OUTPUT

## ANswers To @uEsTIONS

7.1 Human readable: Suitable for communicating with the computer user. Machine readable: Suitable for communicating with equipment. Communication: Suitable for communicating with remote devices
7.2 The most commonly used text code is the International Reference Alphabet (IRA), in which each character is represented by a unique 7-bit binary code; thus, 128 different characters can be represented.
7.3 Control and timing. Processor communication. Device communication. Data buffering. Error detection.
7.4 Programmed I/O: The processor issues an I/O command, on behalf of a process, to an I/O module; that process then busy-waits for the operation to be completed before proceeding. Interrupt-driven I/O: The processor issues an I/O command on behalf of a process, continues to execute subsequent instructions, and is interrupted by the I/O module when the latter has completed its work. The subsequent instructions may be in the same process, if it is not necessary for that process to wait for the completion of the I/O. Otherwise, the process is suspended pending the interrupt and other work is performed. Direct memory access (DMA): A DMA module controls the exchange of data between main memory and an I/O module. The processor sends a request for the transfer of a block of data to the DMA module and is interrupted only after the entire block has been transferred.
7.5 With memory-mapped $\mathbf{I} / \mathbf{O}$, there is a single address space for memory locations and I/O devices. The processor treats the status and data registers of I/O modules as memory locations and uses the same machine instructions to access both memory and I/O devices. With isolated I/O, a command specifies whether the address refers to a memory location or an I/O device. The full range of addresses may be available for both.
7.6 Four general categories of techniques are in common use: multiple interrupt lines; software poll; daisy chain (hardware poll, vectored); bus arbitration (vectored).
7.7 The processor pauses for each bus cycle stolen by the DMA module.
ANSWERS TO PROBLEMS
7.1 In the first addressing mode, $2^{8}=256$ ports can be addressed. Typically, this would allow 128 devices to be addressed. However, an opcode specifies either an input or output operation, so it is possible to reuse the addresses, so that there are 256 input port addresses and 256 output port addresses. In the second addressing mode, $2^{16}$ $=64 \mathrm{~K}$ port addresses are possible.
7.2 In direct addressing mode, an instruction can address up to $2^{16}=64 \mathrm{~K}$ ports. In indirect addressing mode, the port address resides in a 16-bit registers, so again, the instruction can address up to $2^{16}=64 \mathrm{~K}$ ports.
$7.3 \quad 64 \mathrm{~KB}$
7.4 Using non-block I/O instructions, the transfer takes $20 \times 128=2560$ clock cycles. With block I/O, the transfer takes $5 \times 128=640$ clock cycles (ignoring the one-time fetching of the iterative instruction and its operands). The speedup is
$(2560-640) / 2560=0.75$, or $75 \%$. Source: [PROT88].
7.5 a. Each I/O device requires one output (from the point of view of the processor) port for commands and one input port for status.
b. The first device requires only one port for data, while the second devices requires and input data port and an output data port. Because each device requires one command and one status port, the total number of ports is seven.
c. seven. Source: [PROT88].
7.6 a. The printing rate is slowed to 5 cps .
b. The situation must be treated differently with input devices such as the keyboard. It is necessary to scan the buffer at a rate of at least once per 60 ms . Otherwise, there is the risk of overwriting characters in the buffer. Source: [PROT88].
7.7 At 8 MHz , the processor has a clock period of $0.125 \mu \mathrm{~s}$, so that an instruction cycle takes $12 \times 0.125=1.5 \mu \mathrm{~s}$. To check status requires one input-type instruction to read the device status register, plus at least one other instruction to examine the register contents. If the device is ready, one output-type instruction is needed to present data to the device handler. The total is 3 instructions, requiring $4.5 \mu \mathrm{~s}$. Source: [PROT88].

### 7.8 Advantages of memory mapped I/O:

1. No additional control lines are needed on the bus to distinguish memory commands from I/O commands.
2. Addressing is more flexible. Examples: The various addressing modes of the instruction set can be used, and various registers can be used to exchange data with I/O modules.
Disadvantages of memory-mapped I/O:
3. Memory-mapped I/ O uses memory-reference instructions, which in most machines are longer than I/O instructions. The length of the program therefore is longer.
4. The hardware addressing logic to the I/O module is more complex, because the device address is longer.
7.9 a. The processor scans the keyboard 10 times per second. In 8 hours, the number of times the keyboard is scanned is $10 \times 60 \times 60 \times 8=288,000$.
b. Only 60 visits would be required. The reduction is $1-(60 / 288000)=0.999$, or $99.9 \%$ Source: [PROT88].
7.10 a. The device generates 8000 interrupts per second or a rate of one every $125 \mu \mathrm{~s}$. If each interrupt consumes $100 \mu \mathrm{~s}$, then the fraction of processor time consumed is $100 / 125=0.8$
b. In this case, the time interval between interrupts is $16 \times 125=2000 \mu \mathrm{~s}$. Each interrupt now requires $100 \mu$ s for the first character plus the time for transferring each remaining character, which adds up to $8 \times 15=120 \mu \mathrm{~s}$, for a total of $220 \mu \mathrm{~s}$. The fraction of processor time consumed is $220 / 2000=0.11$
c. The time per byte has been reduced by $6 \mu \mathrm{~s}$, so the total time reduction is $16 \times 6$ $=96 \mu \mathrm{~s}$. The fraction of processor time consumed is therefore $(220-96) / 2000=$ 0.062 . This is an improvement of almost a factor of 2 over the result from part (b). Source: [PROT88].
7.11 If a processor is held up in attempting to read or write memory, usually no damage occurs except a slight loss of time. However, a DMA transfer may be to or from a device that is receiving or sending data in a stream (e.g., disk or tape), and cannot be stopped. Thus, if the DMA module is held up (denied continuing access to main memory), data will be lost.
7.12 Let us ignore data read/write operations and assume the processor only fetches instructions. Then the processor needs access to main memory once every microsecond. The DMA module is transferring characters at a rate of 1200 characters per second, or one every $833 \mu \mathrm{~s}$. The DMA therefore "steals" every 833rd cycle. This slows down the processor approximately $\frac{1}{833} \times 100 \%=0.12 \%$
7.13 a. For the actual transfer, the time needed is ( 128 bytes $) /(50 \mathrm{KBps})=2.56 \mathrm{~ms}$. Added to this is the time to transfer bus control at the beginning and end of the transfer, which is $250+250=500 \mathrm{~ns}$. This additional time is negligible, so that the transfer time can be considered as 2.56 ms .
b. The time to transfer one byte in cycle stealing mode is $250+500+250=1000 \mathrm{~ns}$ $=1 \mu \mathrm{~s}$. Total amount of time the bus is occupied for the transfer is $128 \mu \mathrm{~s}$. This is less than the result from part (a) by a factor of 20. Source: [PROT88].
7.14 a. At 5 MHz , on clock cycle takes $0.2 \mu \mathrm{~s}$. A transfer of one byte therefore takes 0.6 $\mu \mathrm{s}$.
b. The data rate is $1 /\left(0.6 \times 10^{-6}\right)=1.67 \mathrm{MB} / \mathrm{s}$
c. Two wait states add an addition $0.4 \mu \mathrm{~s}$, so that a transfer of one byte takes $1 \mu \mathrm{~s}$. The resulting data rate is $1 \mathrm{MB} / \mathrm{s}$. Source: [PROT88].
7.15 A DMA cycle could take as long as $0.75 \mu \mathrm{~s}$ without the need for wait states. This corresponds to a clock period of $0.75 / 3=0.25 \mu \mathrm{~s}$, which in turn corresponds to a clock rate of 4 MHz . This approach would eliminate the circuitry associated with wait state insertion and also reduce power dissipation. Source: [PROT88].
7.16 a. Telecommunications links can operate continuously, so burst mode cannot be used, as this would tie up the bus continuously. Cycle-stealing is needed.
b. Because all 4 links have the same data rate, they should be given the same priority. Source: [PROT88].
7.17 Only one device at a time can be serviced on a selector channel. Thus,

Maximum rate $=800+800+2 \times 6.6+2 \times 1.2+10 \times 1=1625.6$ KBytes $/ \mathrm{sec}$
7.18 a. The processor can only devote $5 \%$ of its time to I/O. Thus the maximum I/O instruction execution rate is $10^{6} \times 0.05=50,000$ instructions per second. The I/O transfer rate is therefore 25,000 words / second.
b. The number of machine cycles available for DMA control is

$$
10^{6}(0.05 \times 5+0.95 \times 2)=2.15 \times 10^{6}
$$

If we assume that the DMA module can use all of these cycles, and ignore any setup or status-checking time, then this value is the maximum I/O transfer rate.
7.19 For each case, compute the fraction $g$ of transmitted bits that are data bits. Then the maximum effective data rate $E R$ is

$$
\mathrm{ER}=\mathrm{gR}
$$

a. There are 7 data bits, 1 start bit, 1.5 stop bits, and 1 parity bit.

$$
\begin{gathered}
g=\frac{7}{1+7+1+1.5}=7 / 10.5 \\
E R=0.67 \times R
\end{gathered}
$$

b. Each frame contains $48+128=176$ bits. The number of characters is $128 / 8=16$, and the number of data bits is $16 \times 7=112$.

$$
\mathrm{ER}=\frac{112}{176} \times \mathrm{R}=0.64 \times \mathrm{R}
$$

c. Each frame contains $48=1024$ bits. The number of characters is $1024 / 8=128$, and the number of data bits is $128 \times 7=896$.

$$
\mathrm{ER}=\frac{896}{1072} \times \mathrm{R}=0.84 \times \mathrm{R}
$$

d. With 9 control characters and 16 information characters, each frame contains $(9+16) \times 8=200$ bits. The number of data bits is $16 \times 7=112$ bits.

$$
\mathrm{ER}=\frac{112}{200} \times \mathrm{R}=0.56 \times \mathrm{R}
$$

e. With 9 control characters and 128 information characters, each frame contains $(9+128) \times 8=1096$ bits. The number of data bits is $128 \times 7=896$ bits.

$$
\mathrm{ER}=\frac{896}{1096} \times \mathrm{R}=0.82 \times \mathrm{R}
$$

7.20 a. Assume that the women are working, or sleeping, or otherwise engaged. The first time the alarm goes off, it alerts both that it is time to work on apples. The next alarm signal causes apple-server to pick up an apple an throw it over the
fence. The third alarm is a signal to Apple-eater that he can pick up and eat the apple. The transfer of apples is in strict synchronization with the alarm clock, which should be set to exactly match Apple-eater's needs. This procedure is analogous to standard synchronous transfer of data between a device and a computer. It can be compared to an I/O read operation on a typical bus-based system. The timing diagram is as follows:


On the first clock signal, the port address is output to the address bus. On the second signal, the I/O Read line is activated, causing the selected port to place its data on the data bus. On the third clock signal, the CPU reads the data.

A potential problem with synchronous I/O will occur if Apple-eater's needs change. If he must eat at a slower or faster rate than the clock rate, he will either have too many apples or too few.
b. The women agree that Apple-server will pick and throw over an apple whenever he sees Apple-eater's flag waving. One problem with this approach is that if Apple-eater leaves his flag up, Apple-server will see it all the time and will inundate her friend with apples. This problem can be avoided by giving Apple-server a flag and providing for the following sequence:

1. Apple-eater raises her "hungry" flag when ready for an apple.
2. Apple-server sees the flag and tosses over an apple.
3. Apple-server briefly waves her "apple-sent" flag
4. Apple-eater sees the "apple-sent" flag, takes down her "hungry" flag, and grabs the apple.
5. Apple-eater keeps her "hungry" flag stays down until she needs another apple.

This procedure is analogous to asynchronous I/O. Unfortunately, Appleserver may be doing something other than watching for her friend's flag (like sleeping!). In that case, she will not see the flag, and Apple-eater will go hungry. One solution is to not permit apple-server to do anything but look for her friend's flag. This is a polling, or wait-loop, approach, which is clearly

## inefficient.

c. Assume that the string that goes over the fence and is tied to Apple-server's wrist. Apple-eater can pull the string when she needs an apple. When Appleserver feels a tug on the string, she stops what she is doing and throws over an apple. The string corresponds to an interrupt signal and allows Apple-server to use her time more efficiently. Moreover, if Apple-server is doing something really important, she can temporarily untie the string, disabling the interrupt.


## Chapter 8 <br> Operating System Support

## ANSWERS TO @uESTIONS

8.1 The operating system (OS) is the software that controls the execution of programs on a processor and that manages the processor's resources.
8.2 Program creation: The operating system provides a variety of facilities and services, such as editors and debuggers, to assist the programmer in creating programs.
Program execution: A number of tasks need to be performed to execute a program. Instructions and data must be loaded into main memory, I/O devices and files must be initialized, and other resources must be prepared. Access to I/O devices: Each I/O device requires its own peculiar set of instructions or control signals for operation. Controlled access to files: In the case of files, control must include an understanding of not only the nature of the I/O device (disk drive, tape drive) but also the file format on the storage medium. System access: In the case of a shared or public system, the operating system controls access to the system as a whole and to specific system resources. Error detection and response: A variety of errors can occur while a computer system is running. Accounting: A good operating system will collect usage statistics for various resources and monitor performance parameters such as response time.
8.3 Long-term scheduling: The decision to add to the pool of processes to be executed. Medium-term scheduling: The decision to add to the number of processes that are partially or fully in main memory. Short-term scheduling: The decision as to which available process will be executed by the processor
8.4 A process is a program in execution, together with all the state information required for execution.
8.5 The purpose of swapping is to provide for efficient use of main memory for process execution.
8.6 Addresses must be dynamic in the sense that absolute addresses are only resolved during loading or execution.
8.7 No, if virtual memory is used.
8.8 No.
8.9 No.
8.10 The TLB is a cache that contains those page table entries that have been most recently used. Its purpose is to avoid, most of the time, having to go to disk to retrieve a page table entry.

## ANSWERS TO PROBLEMS

8.1 The answers are the same for (a) and (b). Assume that although processor operations cannot overlap, I/O operations can.

| 1 Job: | TAT $=\mathrm{NT}$ | Processor utilization | $=50 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 Jobs: | $\mathrm{TAT}=\mathrm{NT}$ | Processor utilization | $=100 \%$ |
| 4 Jobs: | $\mathrm{TAT}=(2 \mathrm{~N}-1) \mathrm{NT}$ | Processor utilization | $=100 \%$ |

8.2 I/O-bound programs use relatively little processor time and are therefore favored by the algorithm. However, if a processor-bound process is denied processor time for a sufficiently long period of time, the same algorithm will grant the processor to that process because it has not used the processor at all in the recent past. Therefore, a processor-bound process will not be permanently denied access.
8.3 Main memory can hold 5 pages. The size of the array is 10 pages. If the array is stored by rows, then each of the 10 pages will need to be brought into main memory once. If it is stored by columns, then each row is scattered across all ten pages, and each page will have to be brought in 100 times (once for each row calculation).
8.4 The number of partitions equals the number of bytes of main memory divided by the number of bytes in each partition: $2^{24} / 2^{16}=2^{8}$. Eight bits are needed to identify one of the $2^{8}$ partitions.
8.5 Let $s$ and $h$ denote the average number of segments and holes, respectively. The probability that a given segment is followed by a hole in memory (and not by another segment) is 0.5 , because deletions and creations are equally probable in equilibrium. so with $s$ segments in memory, the average number of holes must be $s / 2$. It is intuitively reasonable that the number of holes must be less than the number of segments because neighboring segments can be combined into a single hole on deletion.
8.6 a. Split binary address into virtual page number and offset; use VPN as index into page table; extract page frame number; concatenate offset to get physical memory address
b. (i) $1052=1024+28$ maps to VPN 1 in PFN 7, $(7 \times 1024+28=7196)$
(ii) $2221=2 \times 1024+173$ maps to VPN 2, page fault
(iii) $5499=5 \times 1024+379$ maps to VPN 5 in PFN $0,(0 \times 1024+379=379)$
8.7 With very small page size, there are two problems: (1) Because very little data is brought in with each page, there will need to be a lot of $I / O$ to bring in the many small pages. (2) The overhead (page table size, length of field for page number) will be disproportionately high.

If pages are very large, main memory will be wasted because the principle of locality suggests that only a small part of the large page will be used.
8.89 and 10 page transfers, respectively. This is referred to as "Belady's anomaly," and was reported in "An Anomaly in Space-Time Characteristics of Certain Programs Running in a Paging Machine," by Belady et al, Communications of the ACM, June 1969.
8.9 A total of fifteen pages are referenced, the hit ratios are:

| $\mathbf{N}$ | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ratio | $0 / 15$ | $0 / 15$ | $2 / 15$ | $3 / 15$ | $5 / 15$ | $8 / 15$ | $8 / 15$ | $8 / 15$ |

8.10 The principal advantage is a savings in physical memory space. This occurs for two reasons: (1) a user page table can be paged in to memory only when it is needed. (2) The operating system can allocate user page tables dynamically, creating one only when the process is created.
Of course, there is a disadvantage: address translation requires extra work.
8.11 The machine language version of this program, loaded in main memory starting at address 4000, might appear as:

| 4000 | $(\mathrm{R} 1) \leftarrow$ ONE | Establish index register for i |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4001 | $(\mathrm{R} 1) \leftarrow \mathrm{n}$ | Establish n in R2 |
| 4002 | compare R1, R2 | Test i > n |
| 4003 | branch greater 4009 |  |
| 4004 | $(\mathrm{R} 3) \leftarrow \mathrm{B}(\mathrm{R} 1)$ | Access $\mathrm{B}[\mathrm{i}]$ using index register R 1 |
| 4005 | $(\mathrm{R} 3) \leftarrow(\mathrm{R} 3)+\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{R} 1)$ | Add C[i] using index register R1 |
| 4006 | $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{R} 1) \leftarrow(\mathrm{R} 3)$ | Store sum in $\mathrm{A}[\mathrm{i}]$ using index register R1 |
| 4007 | $(\mathrm{R} 1) \leftarrow(\mathrm{R} 1)+\mathrm{ONE}$ | Increment i |
| 4008 | branch 4002 |  |
| 6000-6999 | storage for A |  |
| 7000-7999 | storage for B |  |
| 8000-8999 | storage for C |  |
| 9000 | storage for ONE |  |
| 9001 | storage for n |  |

The reference string generated by this loop is

$$
494944(47484649444)^{1000}
$$

consisting of over 11,000 references, but involving only five distinct pages.
8.12 The $S / 370$ segments are fixed in size and not visible to the programmer. Thus, none of the benefits listed for segmentation are realized on the $S / 370$, with the exception of protection. The P bit in each segment table entry provides protection for the entire segment.
8.13 On average, $p / 2$ words are wasted on the last page. Thus the total overhead or waste is $\mathrm{w}=\mathrm{p} / 2+\mathrm{s} / \mathrm{p}$. To find the minimum, set the first derivative to 0 .

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d w}{d p}=\frac{1}{2}-\frac{s}{p^{2}}=0 \\
& p=\sqrt{2 s}
\end{aligned}
$$

8.14 There are three cases to consider:

| Location of referenced <br> word | Probability | Total time for access in ns |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| In cache | 0.9 | 20 |
| Not in cache, but in main <br> memory | $(0.1)(0.6)=0.06$ | $60+20=80$ |
| Not in cache or main <br> memory | $(0.1)(0.4)=0.04$ | $12 \mathrm{~ms}+60+20=12000080$ |

So the average access time would be:

$$
\operatorname{Avg}=(0.9)(20)+(0.06)(80)+(0.04)(12000080)=480026 \mathrm{~ns}
$$

$8.15 \frac{2^{32} \text { memory }}{2^{11} \text { page size }}=2^{21}$ page frames

a. $8 \times 2 \mathrm{~K}=16 \mathrm{~K}$
b. $16 \mathrm{~K} \times 4=64 \mathrm{~K}$
c. $2^{32}=4$ GBytes
8.16 •The starting physical address of a segment is always evenly divisible by 1048, i.e., its rightmost 11 bits are always 0 .

- Maximum logical address space $=2^{9}=512$ segments $\left(\times 2^{22}\right.$ bytes $/$ segment $)=2^{31}$ bytes.
-Format of logical address:

| segment |
| :---: | :---: |
| number (9) |$\quad$ offset (22)

- Entries in the mapping table: $2^{9}=512$.
- Number of memory management units needed $=4$.
- Each 9-bit segment number goes to an MMU; 7 bits are needed for the 128-entry table, the other 2 most significant bits are decoded to select the MMU.
- Each entry in the table is 22 bits.

8.17 a.
page number
(5)
offset (11)
b. 32 entries, each entry is 9 bits wide.
c. If total number of entries stays at 32 and the page size does not change, then each entry becomes 8 bits wide.
8.18 The system operator can review this quantity to determine the degree of "stress" on the system. By reducing the number of active jobs allowed on the system, this average can be kept high. A typical guideline is that this average should be kept above 2 minutes. This may seem like a lot, but it isn't.


## Chapter 9 <br> Computer Arithmetic

## ANsWERs To @uEstuons

9.1 Sign-Magnitude Representation: In an $N$-bit word, the left-most bit is the sign $(0=$ positive, $1=$ negative) and the remaining $N-1$ bits comprise the magnitude of the number. Twos Complement Representation: A positive integer is represented as in sign magnitude. A negative number is represented by taking the Boolean
complement of each bit of the corresponding positive number, then adding 1 to the resulting bit pattern viewed as an unsigned integer. Biased representation: A fixed value, called the bias, is added to the integer.
9.2 In sign-magnitude and twos complement, the left-most bit is a sign bit. In biased representation, a number is negative if the value of the representation is less than the bias.
9.3 Add additional bit positions to the left and fill in with the value of the original sign bit.
9.4 Take the Boolean complement of each bit of the positive number, then adding 1 to the resulting bit pattern viewed as an unsigned integer.
9.5 When the operation is performed on the $n$-bit integer $-2^{n-1}$ (one followed by $n-1$ zeros).
9.6 The twos complement representation of a number is the bit pattern used to represent an integer. The twos complement of a number is the operation that computes the negation of a number in twos complement representation.
9.7 The algorithm for performing twos complement addition involves simply adding the two numbers in the same way as for ordinary addition for unsigned numbers, with a test for overflow. For multiplication, if we treat the bit patterns as unsigned numbers, their magnitude is different from the twos complement versions and so the magnitude of the result will be different.
9.8 Sign, significand, exponent, base.
9.9 An advantage of biased representation is that nonnegative floating-point numbers can be treated as integers for comparison purposes.
9.10 Positive overflow refers to integer representations and refers to a number that is larger than can be represented in a given number of bits. Exponent overflow refers to floating point representations and refers to a positive exponent that exceeds the maximum possible exponent value. Significand overflow occurs when the addition of two significands of the same sign result in a carry out of the most significant bit.
9.11 1. Check for zeros. 2. Align the significands. 3. Add or subtract the significands.
4. Normalize the result.
9.12 To avoid unnecessary loss of the least significant bit.
9.13 Round to nearest: The result is rounded to the nearest representable number. Round toward $+\infty$ : The result is rounded up toward plus infinity. Round toward $-\infty$ : The result is rounded down toward negative infinity. Round toward 0: The result is rounded toward zero.
ANSWERS TO PROBLEMS
9.1 Sign Magnitude: $\quad 512=0000001000000000$

$$
-29=1000000000011101
$$

Two's Complement: $512=0000001000000000$

$$
-29=1111111111100011
$$

9.2 1101011: Because this starts with a leftmost 1, it is a negative number. The magnitude of the negative number is determined by flipping the bits and adding 1 :

$$
0010100+1=0010101
$$

This is 21 , so the original value was -21 .

## 0101101

Because this starts with a leftmost 0 , it is a positive number and we just compute the magnitude as an unsigned binary number, which is 45 .
9.3 a. $A=-\left(2^{n-1}-1\right) \mathrm{a}_{n-1}+\sum_{i=0}^{n-2} 2^{i} \mathrm{a}_{i}$
b. From $-\left(2^{n-1}-1\right)$ through $\left(2^{n-1}-1\right)$
c. (1) Add the two numbers as if they were unsigned integers. (2) If there is a carry out of the sign position, then add that bit to the first bit position of the result and propagate carries as necessary. This is known as the end-around carry rule. (3) An overflow occurs if two positive numbers are added and the result is negative of if two negative numbers are added and the result is positive.
9.4

|  | sign-magnitude | ones complement |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Range | $-\left(2^{n-1}-1\right)$ to $\left(2^{n-1}-1\right)$ | $-\left(2^{n-1}-1\right)$ to $\left(2^{n-1}-1\right)$ |
| Number of <br> representations of 0 | 2 | 2 |
| Negation | Complement the sign bit | Complement each bit |
| Expansion of bit <br> length | Move the sign bit to the new <br> leftmost bit; fill in with zeros | Fill all new bit <br> positions to the left <br> with the sign bit |
| Subtract B from A | Complement the sign bit of B and <br> add B to A using rules for addition <br> of sign-magnitude numbers | Take the ones <br> complement of B and <br> add it to A |

Rules for adding two sign-magnitude numbers:

1. If $A$ and $B$ have the same sign, then add the two magnitudes. If there is a carry out of the last magnitude bit, there is an overflow. If there is no carry the result is the sum of the magnitudes with the same sign bit as A and B.
2. (a) If the magnitude of $A$ equals the magnitude of $B$, the result is zero; (b) if the magnitude of $A$ is greater than the magnitude of $B$, then the sign bit of the result is the sign of A , and the magnitude of the result is the magnitude of A minus the magnitude of $B$. (b) Otherwise, the sign bit of the result is the sign of $B$, and the magnitude of the result is the magnitude of $B$ minus the magnitude of A.
9.5 The twos complement of the original number.
9.6 a. We can express $2^{\mathrm{n}}$ as $(1+\mathrm{Z})$, where Z is an n -bit quantity of all 1 bits. Then, treating all quantities as unsigned integers, we have $\left(2^{n}-X\right)=1+Z-X$. But ( $Z$ $-X)$ results in the Boolean complement of each bit of $X$. Example:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
11111111 \\
-01110100 \\
\hline 10001011
\end{array}
$$

Therefore, $\left(2^{n}-X\right)$ adds one to the quantity formed by taking the Boolean complement of each bit of $X$, which is how we defined the twos complement of X.
b. In Figure 9.5a, notice that we can subtract $X$ or (add $-X$ ) by moving $16-X$ positions clockwise. Similarly, in Figure 9.5b, we can subtract $X$ or (add $-X$ ) by moving $2^{n}-X$ positions clockwise. But the quantity $\left(2^{n}-X\right)$ is what we just defined as the twos complement of $X$, which is the twos complement representation of $-X$. So we can subtract $X$ by adding $-X$.
9.7 The tens complement is calculated as $10^{5}-13250=100000-13250=86750$.
9.8 We subtract $\mathrm{M}-\mathrm{N}$, where $\mathrm{M}=72532$ and $\mathrm{N}=13250$ :

| $\mathrm{M}=$ | 72532 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| tens complement of N | $=$ | +86750 |
| sum | $=$ | 159282 |
| discard carry digit | $=$ | $-\frac{100000}{59282}$ |
| result | $=$ | 5928 |

9.9

| Input | $x_{n-1}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $y_{n-1}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | $c_{n-2}$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Output | $z_{n-1}$ | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
|  | $v$ | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |


| +6 | 00000110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| +13 | $\frac{00001101}{+19}$ | 00010011 | $\frac{-6}{+13}$ | $\frac{11111010}{+7}$ | $\frac{00001101}{00000111}$ | $\frac{-13}{-7}$ | $\frac{111100111}{11111001}$ |

9.11 Add the twos complement, and check for overflow. For b, we must first signextend the second term.
a. 111000
1001101
+1000101
b. 11001100
$\begin{array}{r}+00010010 \\ \hline 11011110\end{array}$
c. $\quad 111100001111$
$+001100001101$
d. 11000011
$\begin{array}{r}+00011000 \\ \hline 11011000\end{array}$

In all cases, the signs of the two numbers to be added are different, so there is no overflow.
9.12 The overflow rule was stated as follows: If two numbers are added, and they are both positive or both negative, then overflow occurs if and only if the result has the opposite sign. There are four cases:

- Both numbers positive (sign bit $=0$ ) and no carry into the leftmost bit position: There is no carry out of the leftmost bit position, so the XOR is 0 . The result has a sign bit $=0$, so there is no overflow.
- Both numbers positive and a carry into the leftmost bit position: There is no carry out of the leftmost position, so the XOR is 1 . The result has a sign bit $=1$, so there is overflow.
- Both numbers negative and no carry into the leftmost position: There is a carry out of the leftmost position, so the XOR is 1 . The result has a sign bit of 0 , so there is overflow.
- Both numbers negative and a carry into the leftmost position. There is a carry out of the leftmost position, so the XOR is 0 . The result has a sign bit of 1 , so there is no overflow.
Therefore, the XOR result always agrees with the presence or absence of overflow.
9.13 An overflow cannot occur because addition and subtraction alternate. As a consequence, the two numbers that are added always have opposite signs, a condition that excludes overflow.
9.14

| $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{Q}$ | $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{- 1}}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0000 | 1010 | 0 | 0101 | Initial |
| 0000 | 0101 | 0 | 0101 | Shift |
| 1011 | 0101 | 0 | 0101 | $\mathrm{~A} \leftarrow \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{M}$ |
| 1101 | 1010 | 1 | 0101 | Shift |
| 0010 | 1010 | 1 | 0101 | $\mathrm{~A} \leftarrow \mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{M}$ |
| 0001 | 0101 | 0 | 0101 | Shift |
| 1100 | 0101 | 0 | 0101 | $\mathrm{~A} \leftarrow \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{M}$ |
| 1110 | 0010 | 1 | 0101 | Shift |

9.15 Using $\mathrm{M}=010111$ (23) and $\mathrm{Q}=010011$ (19) we should get 437 as the result.

| $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{Q}$ | $\mathbf{Q}_{\mathbf{- 1}}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 000000 | 010111 | 0 | 010011 | Initial |
| 101101 | 010111 | 0 | 010011 | $\mathrm{~A} \leftarrow \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{M}$ |
| 110110 | 101011 | 1 | 010011 | Shift |
| 111011 | 010101 | 1 | 010011 | Shift |
| 111101 | 101010 | 1 | 010011 | Shift |
| 010000 | 101010 | 1 | 010011 | $\mathrm{~A} \leftarrow \mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{M}$ |
| 001000 | 010101 | 0 | 010011 | Shift |
| 110101 | 010101 | 0 | 010011 | $\mathrm{~A} \leftarrow \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{M}$ |
| 111010 | 101010 | 1 | 010011 | Shift |
| 001101 | 101010 | 1 | 010011 | $\mathrm{~A} \leftarrow \mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{M}$ |
| 000110 | 110101 | 1 | 010011 | Shift |

Answer $=000110110101 \quad($ which is 437)
9.16 An $n$-digit number in base $B$ has a maximum value of $B^{n}-1$. We need to show that the maximum product is less than $B^{2 n}-1$.

$$
\left(\mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{n}}-1\right)\left(\mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{n}}-1\right)=\mathrm{B}^{2 \mathrm{n}}-2 \mathrm{~B}^{\mathrm{n}}+1 \leq \mathrm{B}^{2 \mathrm{n}}-1 .
$$

The inequality is true if

$$
-2 \mathrm{~B}^{\mathrm{n}}+1 \leq-1 \quad \text { or } \quad 1 \leq \mathrm{B}^{\mathrm{n}}
$$

This is always true for $\mathrm{B} \geq 2$ and $\mathrm{n} \geq 1$.
9.17

| $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{Q}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 00000000 | 10010011 | 1011 | Initial |
| 00000001 | 00100110 | 1011 | Shift |
| 1110110 |  | 1011 | $\mathrm{~A} \leftarrow \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{M}$ |
| 00000001 | 00100110 | 1011 | Restore |
| 00000010 | 01001100 | 1011 | Shift |
| 11110111 |  | 1011 | $\mathrm{~A} \leftarrow \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{M}$ |
| 00000010 | 01001100 | 1011 | Restore |
| 00000100 | 10011000 | 1011 | Shift |
| 11111001 |  | 1011 | $\mathrm{~A} \leftarrow \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{M}$ |
| 00000100 | 10011000 | 1011 | Restore |
| 00001001 | 00110000 | 1011 | Shift |
| 11111100 |  | 1011 | $\mathrm{~A} \leftarrow \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{M}$ |
| 00001001 | 00110000 | 1011 | Restore |
| 00010010 | 01100000 | 1011 | Shift |
| 00000111 |  | 1011 | $\mathrm{~A} \leftarrow \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{M}$ |
| 00000111 | 01100001 | 1011 | $\mathrm{Q}_{0} \leftarrow 1$ |
| 00001110 | 11000010 | 1011 | Shift |
| 00000011 |  | 1011 | $\mathrm{~A} \leftarrow \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{M}$ |
| 00000011 | 11000011 | 1011 | $\mathrm{Q}_{0} \leftarrow 1$ |
| 00000111 | 10000110 | 1011 | Shift |
| 11111100 |  | 1011 | $\mathrm{~A} \leftarrow \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{M}$ |
| 00000111 | 10000110 | 1011 | Restore |
| 00001111 | 00001100 | 1011 | Shift |
| 00000100 |  | 1011 | $\mathrm{~A} \leftarrow \mathrm{~A}-\mathrm{M}$ |
| 00000100 | 00001101 | 1011 | $\mathrm{Q}_{0} \leftarrow 1$ |

9.18 The nonrestoring division algorithm is based on the observation that a restoration in iteration $I$ of the form $A(I) \leftarrow A(I)+M$ is followed in iteration $(I+1)$ by the subtraction $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{I}+1) \leftarrow 2 \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{I})-\mathrm{M}$. These two operations can be combined into a single operation: $\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{I}+1) \leftarrow 2 \mathrm{~A}(\mathrm{I})+\mathrm{M}$.
9.19 False. For a negative quotient, truncation yields a larger number.
9.20 Divisor $=13=(001101)_{2}$ is placed in M register.

Dividend $=-145=(111101101111)_{2}$ is placed in A and Q registers

| $\mathbf{A}$ | $\mathbf{Q}$ | $\mathbf{M}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 111101 | 101111 | 001101 |
| 111011 | 011110 |  |
| $\underline{001101}$ |  | Initial |
| 001000 |  | Shift |
| 111011 | 011110 | Add |
| 110110 | 111100 |  |
| $\underline{001101}$ |  | Restore |
| 000011 |  | Shift |
| 110110 | 111100 | Add |
| 101101 | 111000 | Restore |
| 001101 |  | Shift |
| 111010 | 111001 | Add |
| 110101 | 110010 | Q $_{0} \leftarrow 1$ |
| $\underline{001101}$ |  | Shift |
| 000110 |  | Add |
| 110101 | 110010 |  |
| 101011 | 100100 | Restore |
| $\underline{001101}$ |  | Shift |
| 111000 | 100101 | Add |
| 110001 | 001010 | $Q_{0} \leftarrow 1$ |
| 001101 |  | Shift |
| 111110 | 001011 | Add |

Remainder $=(111110)_{2}=-2$
Quotient $=$ twos complement of $001011=(110101)_{2}=-11$
9.21 a. Planck's constant:

b. Avogadro's number:


To represent the approximation of Planck's constant 29 radix-10 fractional digits are needed, while representing the approximation of Avogadro's number requires 24 integer decimal digits. To represent the approximations of both Planck's constant and Avogadro's number in a fixed-point number format, $29+$ $54=53$ radix-10 digits are needed.
b. In the considered radix-10 base-10 biased representation for the exponent (such that $E_{\text {biased }}=E+50$ ), the exponent of both Planck's constant and Avogadro's number can be represented using 2 digits, because $27+50=23$ and $23+50=73$. To represent the significands, 3 radix- 10 digits are needed. Therefore, to represent the approximations of both Planck's constant and Avogadro's number in a floating-point radix- 10 base-10 number format, $3+2=5$ decimal digits are needed. Source: [ERCE04]
9.22 a. $b^{X-q}\left(1-b^{-p}\right), b^{-q-p}$
b. $\mathrm{b}^{\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{q}}\left(1-\mathrm{b}^{-\mathrm{p}}\right), \mathrm{b}^{-\mathrm{q}-1}$
9.23 a. 11000000101000000000000000000000
b. 11000000110000000000000000000000
c. 10111111110000000000000000000000
d. $384=110000000=1.1 \times 2^{1000}$

Change binary exponent to biased exponent:
$127+8=135=10000111$
Format: 01000011100000000000000000000000
e. $1 / 16=0.0001=1.0 \times 2^{-100}$
$127-4=123=01111011$
Format: 00111101100000000000000000000000
f. $-1 / 32=-0.00001=-1.0 \times 2^{-101}$
$127-5=122=01111010$
Format: 00111101000000000000000000000000
9.24 a. -28 (don't forget the hidden bit)
b. $13 / 16=0.8125$
c. 2
9.25 In this case, the exponent has a bias of 3 . Special cases are shaded in the table. The first shaded column contains the denormalized numbers. It is worthwhile to study this table to get a feel for the distribution and spacing of numbers represented in this format.

| sign bit and significand | Exponent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 000 | 001 | 010 | 011 | 100 | 101 | 110 | 111 |
| 0000 | 0 | 0.25 | 0.5 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 8 | $+\infty$ |
| 0001 | 0.03125 | 0.28125 | 0.5625 | 1.125 | 2.25 | 4.5 | 9 | NaN |
| 0010 | 0.0625 | 0.3125 | 0.625 | 1.25 | 2.5 | 5 | 10 | NaN |
| 0011 | 0.09375 | 0.34375 | 0.6875 | 1.375 | 2.75 | 5.5 | 11 | NaN |
| 0100 | 0.125 | 0.375 | 0.75 | 1.5 | 3 | 6 | 12 | NaN |
| 0101 | 0.15625 | 0.40625 | 0.8125 | 1.625 | 3.25 | 6.5 | 13 | NaN |
| 0110 | 0.1875 | 0.4375 | 0.875 | 1.75 | 3.5 | 7 | 14 | NaN |
| 0111 | 0.21875 | 0.46875 | 0.9375 | 1.875 | 3.75 | 7.5 | 15 | NaN |
| 1000 | -0 | -0.25 | -0.5 | -1 | -2 | -4 | -8 | $-\infty$ |
| 1001 | -0.03125 | -0.28125 | -0.5625 | -1.125 | -2.25 | -2.5 | -9 | NaN |
| 1010 | -0.0625 | -0.3125 | -0.625 | -1.25 | -2.5 | -5 | -10 | NaN |
| 1011 | -0.09375 | -0.34375 | -0.6875 | -1.375 | -2.75 | -5.5 | -11 | NaN |
| 1100 | -0.125 | -0.375 | -0.75 | -1.5 | -3 | -6 | -12 | NaN |
| 1101 | -0.15625 | -0.40625 | -0.8125 | -1.625 | -3.25 | -6.5 | -13 | NaN |
| 1110 | -0.1875 | -0.4375 | -0.875 | -1.75 | $-3.5$ | -7 | -14 | NaN |
| 1111 | -0.21875 | -0.46875 | -0.9375 | -1.875 | -3.75 | -7.5 | -15 | NaN |

9.26 a. $1.0=+1 / 16 \times 16^{1}=01000001000100000000000000000000$
b. $0.5=+8 / 16 \times 16^{0}=01000000100000000000000000000000$
c. $1 / 64=+4 / 16 \times 16^{-1}=00111111010000000000000000000000$
d. $0.0=+0 \times 16^{-64}=00000000000000000000000000000000$
e. $-15.0=-15 / 16 \times 16^{1}=11000001111100000000000000000000$
f. $5.4 \times 10^{-79} \approx+1 / 16 \times 16^{-64}=00000000000000000000000000000000$
g. $7.2 \times 10^{75} \approx 1 \times 16^{63}=01111111111111111111111111111111$
h. $65535=16^{4}-1=01000100111111111111111100000000$

### 9.27 Step 1: Sign positive

Step 2: Extract the exponent $(5 \mathrm{~B})_{16}$ and subtract the bias $(40)_{16}$, yielding

$$
(1 \mathrm{~B})_{16}=27
$$

Step 3: The significand $(C A 0000)_{16}=12 / 16+10 / 256=0.7890625$.
The decimal result is $0.7890625 \times 16^{27}$.
9.28 The base is irrelevant
a. Bias $=2^{6-1}=2^{5}=32$
b. Bias $=2^{7-1}=2^{6}=64$
9.29

9.30 a. 1. Express the number in binary form: 1011010000 (normalize to 1.1bbbb)
2. Normalize the number into the form 0.1 bbbbbbbbbbbbb

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0.1011010000 \times 2^{\mathrm{k}} \text { where } \mathrm{k}=10(\text { base10 }) \text { or } 1010(\text { base } 2) \\
& 0.1011010000 \times 2^{(1010)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Once in normalized form every number will have a 1 after the decimal point. We do not need to store this number; it is implicit. Therefore in the Significand field we will store 01101000000000000000000.
3. For the 8 -bit exponent field, a bias of 128 is used. Add the bias to the exponent and store the answer: $1010+10000000=1001010$
4. Sign bit $=1$
5. Result $=1100101001101000000000000000000$
b. We have $0.645=0.101001 \ldots$; therefore the significand is 01001 (the first 1 is implicit). The sign $=0$, and the exponent $=0$.
Result: 0000000001001000000000000000000
9.31 There are $2^{32}$ different bit patterns available. However, because of special cases, not all of these bit patterns represent unique numbers. In particular, an exponent of all ones together with a nonzero fraction is given the value NaN , which means Not a Number, and is used to signal various exception conditions. Because the fraction field is 23 bits, the number of nonzero fractions is $2^{23}-1$. The sign bit may be 0 or 1 for this case, so the total number of NaN values is $2^{24}-2$. Therefore, the number of different numbers that can be represented is $2^{32}-2^{24}+2$. This number includes both plus and minus zero and plus and minus infinity. If we exclude minus zero and plus and minus infinity, then the total is $2^{32}-2^{24}-1$.
9.32 We have $0.4 \times 2^{0}$. Because 0.4 is less than 0.5 , this is not normalized. Thus, we rewrite as

$$
0.4=0.8 \times 2^{-1}
$$

Next, convert 0.8 to binary, we have repeating binary number: $0.110011001100 \ldots$ The closest we can get ( 7 bits) is 0.1100110 . Converting this back to decimal, we have

$$
(1 / 2+1 / 4+1 / 32+1 / 64) \times 2^{-1}=0.3984375
$$

The relative error is $\frac{0.4-0.3984375}{0.4}=0.0039$
$9.33 \mathrm{EA}_{\mathrm{A}}=\frac{\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{A}^{\prime}}{\mathrm{A}}$

Truncation: EA $=\frac{1.427-1.42}{1.427}=0.0049$
Rounding: $\quad \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{A}}=\frac{1.427-1.43}{1.427}=-0.0021$
9.34 Cancellation reveals previous errors in the computation of $X$ and Y. For example, if $\varepsilon$ is small, we often get poor accuracy when computing $f(x+\varepsilon)-f(x)$, because the rounded calculation of $f(x+\varepsilon)$ destroys much of the information about $\varepsilon$. It is desirable to rewrite such formulas as $\varepsilon \times \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x}, \varepsilon)$, where $\mathrm{g}(\mathrm{x}, \varepsilon)=\frac{f(x+\varepsilon)-f(x)}{\varepsilon}$ is first computed symbolically. Thus, if $f(x)=x^{2}$, then $g(x, \varepsilon)=2 x+\varepsilon$; if $f(x)=\sqrt{x}$, then $g(x, \varepsilon)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{x+\varepsilon}+\sqrt{x}}$.
9.35 We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{A}}=\frac{A-A^{\prime}}{A}=1-\frac{A^{\prime}}{A} \\
& \mathrm{~A}^{\prime}=A\left(1-E_{A}\right) \\
& \mathrm{B}^{\prime}=\mathrm{B}\left(1-E_{B}\right) \\
& A^{\prime} \mathrm{B}^{\prime} \quad=A B\left(1-E_{A}\right)\left(1-E_{B}\right)=A B\left[1-\left(E_{A}+E_{B}\right)+E_{A} E_{B}\right] \\
& \\
& \quad \approx A B\left[1-\left(E_{A}+E_{B}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The product term $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{A}} \mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{B}}$ should be negligible in comparison to the sum.
Consequently

$$
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{AB}}=\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{A}}+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{B}} .
$$

9.36 a. $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{A}}=\frac{0.22288-0.2228}{0.2228}=0.00036$
$E B=\frac{0.22211-0.2221}{0.22211}=0.00045$
b. $\mathrm{C}=\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{B}=0.00077$
$\mathrm{C}^{\prime}=\mathrm{A}^{\prime}-\mathrm{B}^{\prime}=0.0007$
$\mathrm{EC}=\frac{0.00077-0.0007}{0.00077}=0.09$
9.37 a. $\left(2.50000 \times 10^{-60}\right) \times\left(3.50000 \times 10^{-43}\right)=8.75000 \times 10^{-103} \rightarrow 0.00088 \times 10^{-99}$

The otherwise exact product underflows and must be denormalized by four digits. The number then requires rounding.
b. $\left(2.50000 \times 10^{-60}\right) \times\left(3.50000 \times 10^{-60}\right)=8.75000 \times 10^{-120} \rightarrow 0.0$

The intermediate result falls below the underflow threshold and must be set to zero.
c. $\left(5.67834 \times 10^{-97}\right)-\left(5.67812 \times 10^{-97}\right)=2.20000 \times 10^{-101} \rightarrow 0.02200 \times 10^{-99}$

This example illustrates how underflowed sums and differences of numbers in the same format are always free from rounding errors.
9.38 a. The exponents are equal. Therefore the mantissas are added, keeping the common exponent, and the sum is renormalized if necessary.

$$
5.566 \times 10^{3}+7.777 \times 10^{3}=1.3343 \times 10^{3} \approx 1.334 \times 10^{3}
$$

b. The exponents must be equalized first.

$$
\begin{gathered}
3.344 \times 10^{1}+8.877 \times 10^{-2}=3.344 \times 10^{1}+0.008877 \times 10^{1}= \\
3.352877 \times 10^{1} \approx 3.352 \times 10^{1}
\end{gathered}
$$

9.39 a. $7.744 \times 10^{-3}-6.666 \times 10^{-3}=1.078 \times 10^{-3}$
b. $8.844 \times 10^{-3}-2.233 \times 10^{-1}=0.08844 \times 10^{-1}-2.233 \times 10^{-1}=$ $-2.14456 \times 10^{-1} \approx-2.144 \times 10^{-1}$
9.40 a. $2.255 \times 10^{1} \times 1.234 \times 10^{0}=2.58267 \times 10^{1} \approx 2.582 \times 10^{1}$ b. $8.833 \times 10^{2} \div 5.555 \times 10^{4}=1.590 \times 10^{-2}$

